# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Texas Health Dallas Old Republic Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-20-0765-01 Box Number 44

MFDR Date Received

November 25, 2019

# **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "The purpose of this letter is to inform you that payment for services provided to the above referenced patient does not comply with Chapters 134.403 and 34.404 of Texas Administrative Code."

Amount in Dispute: \$455.94

### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: None submitted.

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	e Disputed	d Services A	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
July 23, 2019	Outpatient Ho	ospital Services	\$455.94	\$138.97

### **FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

# **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for outpatient hospital services.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - P12 Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment
  - 97 Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated
  - 954 The allowance for normally packaged revenue and/or service codes have been paid in accordance with the dispersed outpatient allowance

4915 – The charge for the services represented by the revenue code are included/bundled into the total
facility payment and do not warrant a separate payment or the payment status indicator determines the
service is packaged or excluded from payment

### Issues

- 1. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

### **Findings**

- 1. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$455.94 for outpatient hospital services rendered on July 23, 2019. The insurance carrier paid the amount billed by the health care provider.
  - 28 TAC §134.403 (e) (3) states regardless of billed amount, if no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section shall be the reimbursement. The calculation based on 28 TAC §134.403 (f) is shown below.
- 2. 28 TAC §134.403, (f) states the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register*.

The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent unless a separate request for implants applies. Review of the submitted medical bill finds implants do not apply. The maximum allowable reimbursement is calculated as:

• Procedure code 76080 has status indicator Q2 the OPPS Addendum A rate is \$497.49, multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$298.49, in turn multiplied by the facility wage index of 0.9736 for an adjusted labor amount of \$290.61.

The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$199.00. The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$489.61.

The Medicare facility specific amount of \$489.61 is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$979.22.

The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$979.22. The insurance carrier paid \$840.25. The amount due is \$138.97. This amount is recommended.

# **Conclusion**

In resolving disputes over reimbursement for medically necessary health care to treat a compensable injury, the role of DWC is to adjudicate payment following Texas laws and DWC rules. The findings in this decision are based on the evidence available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons above the requestor has established payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$138.97.

### ORDER

In accordance with Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable) and based on the submitted information, DWC finds the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement. DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$138.97, plus accrued interest per Rule §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

<u>Authorized</u>	Signature

		January 23, 2020
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.