



# TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

## Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

### MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

**Requestor Name**

REECE HAYDEN, DC

**Respondent Name**

UNITED AIRLINES INC

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-20-0265-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative**

Box Number 19

**MFDR Date Received**

SEPTEMBER 27, 2019

#### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"DESIGNATED DOCTOR REFERRED TESTING INCORRECT REDUCTION."

Amount in Dispute: \$46.24

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

No response was received

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
March 14, 2019	CPT Code 97750-FC-GP ( X16) Functional Capacity Evaluation (FCE)	\$46.24	\$0.00

#### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

##### **Background**

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 (TAC), effective May 31, 2012 sets out the procedures for resolving a medical fee dispute.
- 28 TAC §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, sets out the fee guidelines for reimbursement of professional medical services provided in the Texas workers' compensation system.
- 28 TAC §134.225, effective July 7, 2016, sets the reimbursement guidelines for FCEs.
- The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment code:
  - P12-Workers compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
  - 790-This charge was reimbursed in accordance to the Texas medical fee guideline.
  - 350-Bill has been identified as a request for reconsideration or appeal.

- 193-Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
- W3-In accordance with TDI-DWC rule 134.804, this bill has been identified as a request for reconsideration or appeal.

### Issues

Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for CPT code 97750-FC-GP (X16) rendered on March 14, 2019?

### Findings

1. The Austin carrier representative for United Airlines Inc. is Flahive Ogden & Latson. Flahive Ogden & Latson acknowledged receipt of the copy of this medical fee dispute on October 8, 2019. Rule §133.307(d)(1) states that if the DWC does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the DWC may base its decision on the available information

As of today, no response has been received from the carrier or its representative. We therefore base this decision on the information available as authorized under §133.307(d)(1).

2. The requestor is seeking medical fee dispute resolution for CPT code 97750-FC-GP (X16) rendered on March 14, 2019 in the amount of \$46.24.
3. According to the explanation of benefits, the carrier paid \$903.20 for the FCE based upon the fee guideline.
4. The applicable fee guideline for FCEs is found at 28 TAC §134.225.
5. 28 TAC §134.225 states:

The following applies to functional capacity evaluations (FCEs). A maximum of three FCEs for each compensable injury shall be billed and reimbursed. FCEs ordered by the division shall not count toward the three FCEs allowed for each compensable injury. FCEs shall be billed using CPT code 97750 with modifier "FC." FCEs shall be reimbursed in accordance with §134.203(c)(1) of this title. Reimbursement shall be for up to a maximum of four hours for the initial test or for a division ordered test; a maximum of two hours for an interim test; and a maximum of three hours for the discharge test, unless it is the initial test. Documentation is required. "

6. The DWC reviewed the submitted documentation and finds the disputed FCE was at the request of the designated doctor; therefore, reimbursement is recommended.
7. 28 TAC §134.203(c)(1) states "To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications. (1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83."

28 TAC §134.203(c)(2) states "The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year's conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year's conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year. The following hypothetical example illustrates this annual adjustment activity if the Division had been using this MEI annual percentage adjustment: The 2006 Division conversion factor of \$50.83 (with the exception of surgery) would have been multiplied by the 2007 MEI annual percentage increase of 2.1 percent, resulting in the \$51.90 (with the exception of surgery) Division conversion factor in 2007."

Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 5, 10.3.7-effective June 6, 2016, titled *Multiple Procedure Payment Reductions for Outpatient Rehabilitation Services*, states:

Full payment is made for the unit or procedure with the highest PE payment.

For subsequent units and procedures with dates of service prior to April 1, 2013, furnished to the same patient on the same day, full payment is made for work and malpractice and 80 percent payment is made for the PE for services submitted on professional claims (any claim submitted using the ASC X12 837 professional claim format or the CMS-1500 paper claim form) and 75 percent payment is made for the PE for services submitted on institutional claims (ASC X12 837 institutional claim format or Form CMS-1450).

For subsequent units and procedures with dates of service on or after April 1, 2013, furnished to the same patient on the same day, full payment is made for work and malpractice and 50 percent payment is made for the PE for services submitted on either professional or institutional claims.

To determine which services will receive the MPPR, contractors shall rank services according to the applicable PE relative value units (RVU) and price the service with the highest PE RVU at 100% and apply the appropriate MPPR to the remaining services. When the highest PE RVU applies to more than one of the identified services, contractors shall additionally sort and rank these services according to highest total fee schedule amount, and price the service with the highest total fee schedule amount at 100% and apply the appropriate MPPR to the remaining services.

On the disputed dates of service, the requestor billed CPT code 97550-FC (X16). The multiple procedure rule discounting applies to the disputed service.

The DWC conversion factor for 2019 is 59.19.

The Medicare conversion factor for 2019 is 36.0391.

Review of Box 32 on the CMS-1500 finds that the services were rendered in zip code 77042 which is located in Houston, Texas; therefore, the Medicare locality is "Houston, Texas."

The Medicare participating amount for CPT code 97750 is \$36.18, MPPR is \$26.70

Using the above formula and multiple procedure rule discounting, the MAR is \$717.19. The respondent paid \$903.20. As a result, additional reimbursement is not recommended.

### **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the DWC finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

### ***ORDER***

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the DWC has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

### **Authorized Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
11/26/2019  
Date

### ***YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL***

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**