

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

DOCTORS HOSPITAL AT RENAISSANCE INDEMNITY INSURANCE CO. OF NORTH AMERICA

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-19-5317-01 Box Number 15

MFDR Date Received Response Submitted By

August 27, 2019 Downs Stanford, P.C.

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"After reviewing the account we have concluded that reimbursement received was inaccurate."

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

"Respondent calculated the reimbursement pursuant to the Medicare fee guidelines."

SUMMARY OF DISPUTE

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Dispute Amount	Amount Due
May 28, 2019	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$3,887.80	\$0.00

AUTHORITY

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the hospital facility fee guideline for outpatient services.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - P12 WORKERS' COMPENSATION JURISDICTIONAL FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
 - 97 THE BENEFIT FOR THIS SERVICE IS INCLUDED IN THE PAYMENT/ALLOWANCE FOR ANOTHER SERVICE/PROCEDURE THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ADJUDICATED.
 - 954 THE ALLOWANCE FOR NORMALLY PACKAGED REVENUE AND/OR SERVICE CODES HAVE BEEN PAID IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DISPERSED OUTPATIENT ALLOWANCE.
 - 4915 THE CHARGE FOR THE SERVICES REPRESENTED BY THE REVENUE CODE ARE INCLUDED/BUNDLED INTO THE TOTAL
 FACILITY PAYMENT AND DO NOT WARRANT A SEPARATE PAYMENT OR THE PAYMENT STATUS INDICATOR DETERMINES
 THE SERVICE IS PACKAGED OR EXCLUDED FROM PAYMENT.
 - 96 NON-COVERED CHARGE(S).
 - 797 SERVICE NOT PAID UNDER MEDICARE OPPS.
 - 906 IN ACCORDANCE WITH CLINICAL BASED CODING EDITS (NATIONAL CORRECT CODING INITIATIVE/OUTPATIENT CODE EDITOR), COMPONENT CODE OF COMPREHENSIVE MEDICINE, EVALUATION AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES PROCEDURE (90000-99999) HAS BEEN DISALLOWED.
 - W3 ADDITIONAL PAYMENT MADE ON APPEAL/RECONSIDERATION.
 - B20 PAYMENT ADJUSTED BECAUSE PROCEDURE/SERVICE WAS PARTIALLY OR FULLY FURNISHED BY ANOTHER PROVIDER.

- 193 ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS
 PROCESSED PROPERLY.
- 1014 The attached billing has been re-evaluated at the request of the provider. Based on this re-evaluation, we find our original review to be correct. Therefore, no additional allowance appears to be warranted.

Issues

Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

<u>Findings</u>

This dispute regards outpatient facility services subject to DWC's Hospital Facility Fee Guideline, Rule §134.403, which requires the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) be the Medicare facility specific amount applying Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) formulas and factors modified by DWC rules.

Rule §134.403(f)(1) requires the Medicare facility specific amount and any outlier payment be multiplied by 200% for the disputed hospital facility services. Separate reimbursement for implants was not requested.

Medicare assigns an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) to OPPS services based on billed procedure codes and supporting documentation. The APC determines the payment rate. Reimbursement for ancillary items and services is packaged with the APC payment. CMS publishes quarterly APC rate updates, available at www.cms.gov.

Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:

- Procedure code 64910 has status indicator J1, for procedures paid at a comprehensive rate. All covered services on the bill are packaged with the primary "J1" procedure. This code is assigned APC 5432. The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$4,566.06, multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$2,739.64, in turn multiplied by the facility wage index of 0.8224 for an adjusted labor amount of \$2,253.08. The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$1,826.42. The services do not exceed the thresholds for outlier payment. The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is the Medicare facility specific amount of \$4,079.50. This is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$8,159.00.
- Payment for all other services on the bill is packaged with the primary comprehensive J1 service per Medicare policy regarding comprehensive APCs. See *Medicare Claims Processing Manual* Chapter 4 §10.2.3 for details.

The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$8,159.00. The insurance carrier paid \$8,159.00. Additional payment is not recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons above, the requestor failed to establish that additional payment is due. The amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

In accordance with Texas Labor Code §413.031, based on the information submitted for review, DWC hereby determines the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

	Grayson Richardson	October 4, 2019
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 TAC §133.307.

The appealing party must submit a *Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision* (form DWC045M). DWC must receive the request within twenty days of your receipt of this decision.

You may fax, mail or personally deliver the request to either the field office handling the claim or to DWC at the contact information on the form. You must send a copy to all other parties in the dispute at the same time you file the request. Include a **copy** of this **Medical Fee Dispute Decision** along with any other information required by 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.