# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor Name

AHMED KHALIFA, MD

**Respondent Name** 

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-19-4220-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative** 

Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

MAY 23, 2019

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

Requestor's Position Summary: "The carrier has not paid this claim in accordance and compliance with TDI-

DWC Rule 133 and 134."

Amount in Dispute: \$140.15

### **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "The treating provider billed 99214-V5 modifier. The billed was denied for inaccurate coding (714) as the examining doctor who is the treating doctor did not bill the appropriate cpt code for modifier V5 per Rule 134.250(A)(i)(ii). 99080-73 was denied as there was no change in work status from date of service 2/12/19 and 2/26/19 per Rule 129.5. (Attachment)."

**Response Submitted By:** Texas Mutual Insurance Co.

# SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
February 26, 2019 -	CPT Code 99213-V5 Office Visit	\$125.15	\$0.00
	CPT Code 99080-73 Work Status Report	\$15.00	\$0.00
TOTAL		\$140.15	\$0.00

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.239, effective July 7, 2016, sets out medical fee guidelines for workers' compensation specific services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for professional services.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §129.5, effective July 16, 2000, sets out the procedure for reporting and billing work status reports.
- 5. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - CAC-P12-Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
  - CAC-16-Claim/service lacks information or has submission/billing error(s) which is needed for adjudication.
  - CAC-4-The procedure code is inconsistent with the modifier used or a required modifier is missing.
  - CAC-18-Exact duplicate claim/service.
  - 224-Duplicate charge.
  - 225-The submitted documentation does not support the service being billed. We will re-evaluate this upon receipt of clarifying information.
  - 714-Accurate coding is essential for reimbursement, CPT/HCPCS billed incorrectly. Corrections must be submitted w/I 95 days from DOS.
  - 732-Accurate coding is essential for reimbursement. Modifier billed incorrectly or missing. Services are not reimbursable as billed.
  - 892-Denied in accordance with DWC rules and/or medical fee guideline including current CPT code descriptions/instructions.

#### **Issues**

Is the requestor due reimbursement for CPT code 99213-V5 and 99080-73 rendered on February 26, 2019?

# **Findings**

- 1. The requestor is seeking medical fee dispute resolution in the amount of \$140.15 for professional services rendered to the injured worker on February 26, 2019.
- 2. The insurance carrier denied reimbursement for the office visit, CPT code 99213-V5, based upon reason codes: "CAC-P12-Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment; CAC-16-Claim/service lacks information or has submission/billing error(s) which is needed for adjudication; CAC-4-The procedure code is inconsistent with the modifier used or a required modifier is missing; 225-The submitted documentation does not support the service being billed. We will re-evaluate this upon receipt of clarifying information; 714-Accurate coding is essential for reimbursement, CPT/HCPCS billed incorrectly. Corrections must be submitted w/I 95 days from DOS; 732-Accurate coding is essential for reimbursement. Modifier billed incorrectly or missing. Services are not reimbursable as billed; and 892-Denied in accordance with DWC rules and/or medical fee guideline including current CPT code descriptions/instructions."

The fee guidelines for disputed services are found in 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203.

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(a)(5) states "Medicare payment policies" when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, and values or weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare."

CPT code 99213 is described as "Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient, which requires at least 2 of these 3 key components: An expanded problem focused history; An expanded problem focused examination; Medical decision making of low complexity. Counseling and coordination of care with other physicians, other qualified health care professionals, or agencies are provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the patient's and/or family's needs. Usually, the

presenting problem(s) are of low to moderate severity. Typically, 15 minutes are spent face-to-face with the patient and/or family."

The requestor appended modifier "V5" to code 99213. The Medicare Coding Guidelines lists modifiers to be used with evaluation and management codes, and "V5" is not listed.

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.210(e)(15) states, "The following division modifiers shall be used by health care providers billing professional medical services for correct coding, reporting, billing, and reimbursement of the procedure codes: (15) V5, level of MMI for treating doctor--This modifier shall be added to CPT code 99455 when the office visit level of service is equal to "moderate to high severity" level and at least 45 minutes duration." Therefore, modifier "V5" is a division specific modifier to be used in conjunction with CPT code 99455 not 99213.

The division finds the respondent's denial of payment for code 99213-V5 based upon inaccurate coding is supported per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(a)(5) and§134.210(e)(15); therefore, reimbursement is not recommended.

- 3. The insurance carrier denied reimbursement for CPT code 99080-73, based upon reason codes: "CAC-18-Exact duplicate claim/service; and 224-Duplicate charge."
  - CPT code 99080-73 is defined as "Special reports such as insurance forms, more than the information conveyed in the usual medical communications or standard reporting form."
  - 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.239 states, "When billing for a work status report that is not conducted as a part of the examinations outlined in §134.240 and §134.250 of this title, refer to §129.5 of this title."
  - 28 Texas Administrative Code §129.5(i)(1) states "Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, a doctor may bill for, and a carrier shall reimburse, filing a complete Work Status Report required under this section or for providing a subsequent copy of a Work Status Report which was previously filed because the carrier, its agent, or the employer through its carrier, asks for an extra copy. The amount of reimbursement shall be \$15. A doctor shall not bill in excess of \$15 and shall not bill or be entitled to reimbursement for a Work Status Report which is not reimbursable under this section. Doctors are not required to submit a copy of the report being billed for with the bill if the report was previously provided. Doctors billing for Work Status Reports as permitted by this section shall do so as follows: (1) CPT code "99080" with modifier "73" shall be used when the doctor is billing for a report required under subsections (d)(1), (d)(2), and (f) of this section."
  - 28 Texas Administrative Code §129.5 (d)(1) and (2) states "The doctor shall file the Work Status Report: (1) after the initial examination of the employee, regardless of the employee's work status;
  - (2) when the employee experiences a change in work status or a substantial change in activity restrictions."

The respondent submitted work status reports dated February 12 and 26, 2019 that indicate no change in claimant's work status. Therefore, per 28 Texas Administrative Code §129.5(d)2), the respondent's denial of payment is supported. As a result, reimbursement is not recommended.

### **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature			
		06/27/2019	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.