MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Respondent Name

METROPOLITAN ANESTHESIA CONSULTANTS, LLP

TRAVELERS INDEMNITY CO

MFDR Tracking Number

Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-19-4088-01

Box Number 05

MFDR Date Received

MAY 8, 2019

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "We have worked diligently to submit the claim to the correct Workers Comp Entity, and have attached diligent attemps to communicate via mail and phone with reference numbers along with certified mail tracking numbers. We see review and overturn of said denial we do hope we have provided ample proof of pro-active claim submission, and ongoing communication with your representatives."

Amount in Dispute: \$4,381.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Carrier contends the Provider has not submitted appropriate evidence of timely submission of the billing in dispute. The Carrier received the initial billing for this date of service by the Provider on 11-06-2018...based on Rule 102.4, the submission date is 5 days earlier or 11-01-2018. As this date is 97 days after the date of service of 07-27-2018, the billing was not timely submitted as required by Rule 133.20."

Response Submitted by: Travelers Insurance Co.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
July 27, 2018	CPT Code 23410/01630	\$2,067.00	\$0.00
	CPT Code 64415	\$1,424.00	\$0.00
	CPT Code 76942	\$890.00	\$0.00
TOTAL		\$4,381.00	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. Texas Labor Code §408.027, effective September 1, 2007, sets out the rules for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4(h), effective May 1, 2005, sets out rules to determine when written documentation was sent.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20, effective January 29, 2009, sets out the health care providers billing procedures.
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced / denied by the respondent with the following claim adjustment reason codes:
 - 29-The time limit for filing has expired.
 - 4271-Per TX Labor Code Sec. 413.016, provider must submit bills to payors within 95 days of the date of service.
 - W3-Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.
 - 247-A payment or denial has already been recommended for this service.
 - 18-Exact duplicate claim/service.
 - DUPL-These services have already been considered for reimbursement.

<u>Issues</u>

Does the documentation support requestor's position that the disputed bills were submitted timely?

Findings

- 1. The requestor is seeking payment of \$4,381.00 for anesthesia services rendered on July 27, 2018.
- 2. According to the explanation of benefits, the respondent denied reimbursement for the disputed services based upon reason code "29-The time limit for filing has expired," and "4271-Per TX Labor Code Sec. 413.016, provider must submit bills to payors within 95 days of the date of service."
- 3. To determine if the anesthesia services are eligible for reimbursement the division refers to the following statute:
 - Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) states, "A health care provider shall submit a claim for payment to the
 insurance carrier not later than the 95th day after the date on which the health care services are
 provided to the injured employee. Failure by the health care provider to timely submit a claim for
 payment constitutes a forfeiture of the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment."
 - 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20(B) states, "Except as provided in Labor Code §408.0272(b), (c) or (d), a health care provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the 95th day after the date the services are provided. In accordance with subsection (c) of the statute, the health care provider shall submit the medical bill to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier not later than the 95th day after the date the health care provider is notified of the health care provider's erroneous submission of the medical bill. A health care provider who submits a medical bill to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier shall include a copy of the original medical bill submitted, a copy of the explanation of benefits (EOB) if available, and sufficient documentation to support why one or more of the exceptions for untimely submission of a medical bill under §408.0272 should be applied. The medical bill submitted by the health care provider to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier is subject to the billing, review, and dispute processes established by Chapter 133, including §133.307(c)(2)(A) (H) of this title (relating to MDR of Fee Disputes), which establishes the generally acceptable standards for documentation."

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4(h), states, "Unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, written communications shall be deemed to have been sent on: (1) the date received, if sent by fax, personal delivery or electronic transmission or, (2) the date postmarked if sent by mail via United States Postal Service regular mail, or, if the postmark date is unavailable, the later of the signature date on the written communication or the date it was received minus five days. If the date received minus five days is a Sunday or legal holiday, the date deemed sent shall be the next previous day which is not a Sunday or legal holiday."
- 4. Both parties to this dispute submitted documentation for consideration in support of their position. The division reviewed the documentation and finds:
 - The documentation does not contain any evidence such as a fax, personal delivery, electronic transmission, or certified green cards to support the bill was sent to the respondent within the 95 day deadline.
 - The requestor did not sufficiently support that the claim was submitted to the respondent within the
 95 day deadline set out in Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) and 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20(B).
 - The respondent's denial of payment based upon timely filing is supported.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

<u>Authorized</u>	<u>l Signature</u>
	_

		5/30/2019	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.