



# TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

## Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

### MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

**Requestor Name**

SETON MEDICAL CENTER

**Respondent Name**

HARTFORD CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-19-4003-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative**

Box Number 47

**MFDR Date Received**

April 30, 2019

**Response Submitted By**

The Hartford

#### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"Underpaid/Denied Physical Therapy Rate."

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

"CPT 97161, for the *initial* evaluation was previously billed by the provider for DOS 10/22/2018..."

#### SUMMARY OF DISPUTE

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Dispute Amount	Amount Due
January 22, 2019 to January 30, 2019	Outpatient Physical Therapy: 97161, 97140	\$156.65	\$137.23

#### AUTHORITY

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### Background

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the hospital facility fee guideline for outpatient services.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the fee guideline for professional medical services.
- The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - 886 – THE PROCEDURE WAS INAPPROPRIATELY BILLED. THE PROVIDER HAS PREVIOUSLY BILLED FOR AN INITIAL/EVALUATION VISIT.
  - B16 – PAYMENT ADJUSTED BECAUSE 'NEW PATIENT' QUALIFICATIONS WERE NOT MET
  - 163 – THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE EXCEEDS THE UNIT VALUE AND/OR MULTIPLE PROCEDURE RULES
  - 170 – REIMBURSEMENT IS BASED ON THE OUTPATIENT/INPATIENT FEE SCHEDULE.
  - 119 – BENEFIT MAXIMUM FOR THIS TIME PERIOD OR OCCURRENCE HAS BEEN REACHED
  - P12 – WORKERS' COMPENSATION JURISDICTIONAL FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
  - 1115 - WE FIND THE ORIGINAL REVIEW TO BE ACCURATE AND ARE UNABLE TO RECOMMEND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLOWANCE
  - W3 – ADDITIONAL PAYMENT MADE ON APPEAL/RECONSIDERATION.
  - 193 – ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY.

#### Issues

1. Are the insurance carrier's reasons for denial of payment supported?
2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

## Findings

1. The insurance carrier denied physical therapy evaluation code 97161 with claim adjustment reason codes:

- 886 – THE PROCEDURE WAS INAPPROPRIATELY BILLED. THE PROVIDER HAS PREVIOUSLY BILLED FOR AN INITIAL/EVALUATION VISIT.
- B16 – PAYMENT ADJUSTED BECAUSE ‘NEW PATIENT’ QUALIFICATIONS WERE NOT MET

The respondent’s position statement asserts, “the *initial* evaluation was previously billed by the provider for DOS 10/22/2018...”

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(b)(1) requires that for coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply “Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers . . . and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules.”

Review of the submitted information finds that the carrier denied evaluation code 97161 inappropriately. Therapy evaluation code 97161 is not comparable to a “new patient” visit code billed by physicians and is not restricted to billing only for patients that have not previously been seen. Therapy code 97161 is for evaluating the current injury or problem and developing a new plan of care for a new course of treatment requested by the referring physician.

Reevaluation code 97164, on the other hand, is likewise not comparable to an “established patient” visit code billed by physicians. It is used when therapists reevaluate and reassess progress to modify an established plan of care for an ongoing course of treatment. The service in dispute is not a modification of an established care plan.

The medical record supports that the evaluation was performed to establish a new plan of care for a new course of treatment that had not been ongoing. The submitted documentation supports evaluation code 97161 as billed.

The division concludes that the insurance carrier’s denial reasons are not supported and without merit. The disputed services will therefore be reviewed for payment in accordance with division rules and fee guidelines.

2. This dispute regards outpatient physical therapy services not paid under Medicare’s Outpatient Prospective Payment System but using Medicare’s Physician Fee Schedule. *DWC Hospital Fee Guideline* Rule §134.403(h) requires use of the fee guideline applicable to the code on the date provided if Medicare reimburses using other fee schedules. *DWC Professional Fee Guideline* Rule §134.203(c) requires the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) be determined by applying Medicare payment policies modified by DWC rules. The MAR is the sum of the geographically adjusted work, practice expense and malpractice values multiplied by a DWC conversion factor.

Medicare multiple-procedure policy requires the first unit of the therapy code with the highest practice expense be paid in full. For each extra unit of therapy (codes with multiple-procedure indicator 5) provided on that date, payment is reduced by 50% of the practice expense. Reimbursement is calculated as follows:

- Procedure code 97140, January 25, 2019, has a Work RVU of 0.43 multiplied by the Work GPCI of 1 is 0.43. The practice expense RVU of 0.35 multiplied by the PE GPCI of 0.938 is 0.3283. The malpractice RVU of 0.01 multiplied by the malpractice GPCI of 0.796 is 0.00796. The sum is 0.76626 multiplied by the DWC conversion factor of \$59.19 for a MAR of \$45.35. For each extra therapy unit after the first unit of the code with the highest PE, payment is reduced by 50% of the practice expense. The PE for this code is not the highest. The PE reduced rate is \$35.64 at 3 units is \$106.92. The carrier paid \$106.92. No additional payment recommended.
- Procedure code 97140, January 30, 2019, has a Work RVU of 0.43 multiplied by the Work GPCI of 1 is 0.43. The practice expense RVU of 0.35 multiplied by the PE GPCI of 0.938 is 0.3283. The malpractice RVU of 0.01 multiplied by the malpractice GPCI of 0.796 is 0.00796. The sum is 0.76626 multiplied by the DWC conversion factor of \$59.19 for a MAR of \$45.35. For each extra therapy unit after the first unit of the code with the highest PE, payment is reduced by 50% of the practice expense. The PE for this code is not the highest. The PE reduced rate is \$35.64 at 2 units is \$71.28. The carrier paid \$71.28. No additional payment recommended.
- Procedure code 97161, January 22, 2019, has a Work RVU of 1.2 multiplied by the Work GPCI of 1 is 1.2. The practice expense RVU of 1.15 multiplied by the PE GPCI of 0.938 is 1.0787. The malpractice RVU of 0.05 multiplied by the malpractice GPCI of 0.796 is 0.0398. This code has the highest PE for this date. The sum of 2.3185 is multiplied by the DWC conversion factor of \$59.19 for a MAR of \$137.23. The carrier paid \$0.00. Additional payment is recommended in the amount of \$137.23.

The total allowable reimbursement for the disputed services is \$315.43. The insurance carrier paid \$178.20. The amount due is \$137.23. This amount is recommended.

**Conclusion**

In resolving disputes regarding the amount of payment due for health care determined to be medically necessary and appropriate for treatment of a compensable injury, the role of the division is to adjudicate the payment, given the relevant statutory provisions and division rules. The findings in this decision are based on the evidence available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons stated above, the division finds the requestor has established that additional payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$137.23.

***ORDER***

In accordance with Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), based on the submitted information, the division finds the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$137.23, plus accrued interest per Rule §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

**Authorized Signature**

_____	<u>Grayson Richardson</u>	<u>May 24, 2019</u>
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

***YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL***

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307. A party seeking review must submit a *Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision* (form DWCO45M) in accordance with the form’s instructions. The division must receive the request within twenty days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered either to the division, using the contact information listed on the form, or to the field office handling the claim. The party seeking review must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Include a copy of this *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other information required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.