



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645
(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

Respondent Name

Ace American Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-19-3604-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box 15

MFDR Date Received

March 27, 2019

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The carrier denied the original bill as well, and the reconsideration based on not approved provider."

Amount in Dispute: \$105.79

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Upon receipt of MDR, the bill was sent for reconsideration. Payment in the amount of \$64.36 was issued on 4/24/19."

Response Submitted by: ESIS

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Table with 4 columns: Date of Service, Disputed Services, Amount In Dispute, Amount Due. Row 1: October 10, 2018, Ibuprofen 800 mg, \$105.79, \$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the reimbursement for compound medications
3. Explanation of Benefits:
Issued February 4, 2019
• 2 – Not approved provider
Issued April 17, 2019
• P12 – Workers' Compensation Jurisdictional Fee Schedule Adjustment.
• 341 – The billed amount for drug or supply exceeds Medispan allowance

Findings

The Division makes the following conclusions based upon the information and documentation presented to the Division to date. Even though all the evidence was not discussed, it was considered.

1. Did the carrier reimburse Memorial for the disputed services?

Memorial Compounding Rx (Memorial) asserts that the carrier has not paid for the service in dispute. Review of the explanations of benefits provided finds that the carrier initially denied payment due to not approved provider. Upon reconsideration, the carrier did not maintain its original denial and decided to issue a payment in the amount of \$64.36 to Memorial on April 18, 2019.

The Division concludes that the carrier changed its original final action and decided to reimburse Memorial for the disputed amount.

2. Is additional reimbursement due?

The carrier reduced the billed amount to a total payment of \$64.36 citing the workers’ compensation fee schedule as its reason for the reduction. Rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c) applies and states, in pertinent part, that the insurance carrier shall reimburse the lesser of: (1) the fee established by the Division’s applicable formula based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed; or (2) the amount billed to the insurance carrier.

Memorial is requesting reimbursement in the amount of \$105.79 for the disputed service. Memorial has the burden to support its request for this amount. In its original position statement, Memorial did not demonstrate how it arrived at the requested amount or whether that amount is consistent with the methodology under 28 TAC §134.503(c). After notification by the Division’s medical fee dispute resolution program of the carrier’s response and payment, Memorial did not take the opportunity to refute the carrier’s payment calculation. For that reason, the Division moves to resolve this dispute with the information available and concludes that no additional reimbursement can be recommended.

Conclusion

The Division concludes that Memorial has already been paid for the service in dispute. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, and pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031, the division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

May 22, 2019
Date

RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.