



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

Respondent Name

Zurich American Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-19-3138-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

February 14, 2019

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The carrier denied the reconsideration based on lack of preauthorization. These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$333.04

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Medical Necessity Dispute is unresolved."

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Table with 4 columns: Dates of Service, Disputed Services, Amount in Dispute, Amount Due. Row 1: October 18, 2018, Gabapentin 300mg capsules, Cyclobenzaprine 10 mg tablets, \$333.04, \$272.48

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for pharmacy services.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out the requirements of prior authorization.
4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
- 39 - Services denied at the time authorization/pre-certification was requested

Issues

1. Is the insurance carrier’s reasons for denial of payment supported?
2. What rule is applicable to reimbursement?
3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. The requestor is seeking reimbursement of prescribed oral medication dispensed on October 10, 2018. The insurance carrier denied for lack of pre-authorization. Review of the submitted documentation found a utilization review for a compound on May 15, 2018.

28 TAC §134.530 (b) states in relevant parts that prior authorization is required found on the ODG, Appendix A with a status of “N”. Review of the applicable Appendix A found neither of the disputed medications are listed as a “N” drug. The insurance carrier’s denial is not supported.

Insufficient evidence was found to support a utilization review was done on the oral Gabapentin and Cyclobenzaprine.

These oral medications in dispute will be reviewed per applicable fee guidelines.

2. 28 TAC §134.503 (b)(1)(A)(B) states, The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent the lesser of the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed or the health care providers submitted charge.
 - Generic drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.25) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - Brand name drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.09) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;

The AWP for the Gabapentin is \$1.33. The fee calculation is \$1.33 x 90 x 1.25 = \$149.63. The billed amount was \$177.26. The allowed amount is \$149.63 (lesser amount).

The AWP for the Cyclobenzaprine is \$1.092. The fee calculation is \$1.092 x 90 x 1.25 = \$122.85. The billed amount was \$155.78. The allowed amount is \$122.85 (lesser amount).

3. The allowed amount is \$272.48. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

In resolving disputes over reimbursement for medically necessary health care to treat a compensable injury, the role of DWC is to adjudicate payment following Texas laws and DWC rules. The findings in this decision are based on the evidence available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons above the requestor has established payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$272.48.

ORDER

In accordance with Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable) and based on the submitted information, DWC finds the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement. DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$272.48, plus accrued interest per Rule §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

December 20, 2019

Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.