



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Texas Health HEB

Respondent Name

New Hampshire Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-19-3048-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

February 4, 2019

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The purpose of this letter is to inform you that payment for services provided to the above referenced patient does not comply with Chapters 134.403 and 134.404 of Texas Administrative Code."

Amount in Dispute: \$31.86

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement. We are attaching a copy of the carrier's EOBs dated December 10, 2018 and January 14, 2019. The provider is rounding up the wage index to .97. However, the correct wage index is .9636."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
October 31, 2018	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$31.86	\$31.86

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for outpatient hospital services.
- The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - P12 – Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment
 - 802 – Charge for this procedure exceeds the OPPS schedule allowance

Issues

1. Is the respondent's position supported?
2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. The respondent states, "The provider is not entitled to pursue medical fee dispute resolution under Division rule 133.307. The claimant is in a certified health care network. That network is Sedgwick Preferred Network." Sedgwick Preferred Network is listed as a certified network on the Division's webpage, the carrier did not provide convincing evidence that the injured employee is enrolled in this network, nor did the carrier provide documentation to support that the requestor is contracted with Sedgwick Preferred.

The Division concludes that the carrier failed to support its reasons for reduction of payment. Therefore, the service in dispute will be reviewed per applicable Division fee guideline.

2. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$31.86 for outpatient hospital services rendered on October 31, 2018. The insurance carrier reduced disputed services with claim adjustment reason code P12 – "Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment."

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 (d) states in pertinent part,

For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of health care covered in this section, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided

The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at www.cms.gov, Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4, Section 10.1.1 - Payment Status Indicators

An OPPS payment status indicator is assigned to every HCPCS code. The status indicator identifies whether the service described by the HCPCS code is paid under the OPPS and if so, whether payment is made separately or packaged. The status indicator may also provide additional information about how the code is paid under the OPPS or under another payment system or fee schedule.

28 TAC §134.403, (f) states,

The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register*. The following minimal modifications shall be applied.

- (1) The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by:
 - (A) 200 percent; unless
 - (B) a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement in accordance with subsection (g) of this section, in which case the facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 130 percent.

Review of the submitted medical bill did not include a separate request for implants. The maximum allowable reimbursement per the above is calculated as follows:

- Procedure code 49505 has status indicator J1, for procedures paid at a comprehensive rate. All covered services on the bill are packaged with the primary "J1" procedure (except those with status F, G, H, L or U; certain inpatient and preventive services; ambulance and mammography). This code is assigned APC 5341. The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$2,911.16, multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$1,746.70, in turn multiplied by the facility wage index of 0.9736 for an adjusted labor amount of \$1,700.59. The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$1,164.46. The sum of the labor and non-

labor portions is \$2,865.05. The Medicare facility specific amount of \$2,865.05 is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$5,730.10.

3. The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$5,730.10. The insurance carrier paid \$5,695.16. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement of \$31.86. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$31.86.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$31.86, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

_____	_____	February 28, 2019
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.