MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Respondent Name

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy Hartford Underwriters Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-19-2539-01 Box Number 47

MFDR Date Received

January 11, 2019

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$145.41

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary:</u> "Under Amended Rules 134.530 and 134.540, preauthorization is required for compound medications prescribed on or after July 1, 2018."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
July 18, 2018	Tizanidine HCl 4 mg Tablets	\$145.41	\$113.89

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out the closed formulary requirements for claims not subject to certified networks.
- 4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 75 Prior authorization required

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Is the insurance carrier's reason for denial of payment based on preauthorization supported?
- 2. Is Memorial Compounding Pharmacy (Memorial) entitled to reimbursement for the drug in question?

Findings

- 1. The insurance carrier denied the disputed drug based on preauthorization. Preauthorization is only required for:
 - drugs identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG Appendix A1;
 - any compound that contains a drug identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG Appendix A; and
 - any investigational or experimental drug.²

The division finds that Tizanidine HCl 4 mg tablets are not identified with a status of "N" in the applicable edition of the ODG, *Appendix A*. Therefore, this drug does not require preauthorization per 28 TAC §134.530(b)(2)(A).

The insurance carrier argued that "preauthorization is required for compound medications prescribed on or after July 1, 2018." The submitted documentation does not support that Tizanidine HCl 4 mg tablets constitute a compound drug. Therefore, this drug does not require preauthorization per 28 TAC §134.530(b)(2)(B).

The submitted documentation does not support that Tizanidine HCl 4 mg tablets are experimental or investigational. Therefore, this drug does not require preauthorization per 28 TAC §134.530(b)(2)(C).

The DWC concludes that the insurance carrier's denial of payment of payment based on preauthorization is not supported.

2. Because the insurance carrier failed to support its denial of payment, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement for the drug in question.

The reimbursement considered in this dispute is calculated as follows³:

Tizanidine HCl 4 mg tablets: (1.46524 x 60 x 1.25) + \$4.00 = \$113.89

The total reimbursement is therefore \$113.89. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$113.89.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$113.89, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

¹ ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary

² 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.540(b)

³ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c)

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	February 22, 2019	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and** *Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.