

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

TEXAS HEALTH ALLIANCE TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY

COMPANY OF AMERICA

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-19-2225-01 Box Number 05

MFDR Date Received

December 17, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Underpaid/Denied Physical Therapy Rate"

Amount in Dispute: \$20.10

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "the Provider was properly reimbursed under the applicable Division fee schedule."

Response Submitted by: Travelers

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Dispute Amount	Amount Due
August 1, 2018 to August 6, 2018	Hospital Outpatient Occupational Therapy	\$20.10	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the hospital facility fee guideline for outpatient services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the fee guideline for professional medical services.
- 4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 97 PAYMENT ADJUSTED BECAUSE THE BENEFIT FOR THIS SERVICE IS INCLUDED IN THE PAYMENT/ALLOWANCE FOR ANOTHER SERVICE/PROCEDURE THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ADJUDICATED.
 - 119 BENEFIT MAXIMUM FOR THIS TIME PERIOD OR OCCURRENCE HAS BEEN REACHED
 - P12 WORKERS' COMPENSATION JURISDICTIONAL FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
 - 243 THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE WAS NOT PAID SINCE THE VALUE OF THIS PROCEDURE IS INCLUDED/BUNDLED WITHIN THE VALUE OF ANOTHER PROCEDURE PERFORMED.
 - 163 THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE EXCEEDS THE UNIT VALUE AND/OR MULTIPLE PROCEDURE RULES
 - 170 REIMBURSEMENT IS BASED ON THE OUTPATIENT/INPATIENT FEE SCHEDULE.
 - 193 ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY.

- W3 ADDITIONAL PAYMENT MADE ON APPEAL/RECONSIDERATION.
- 1014 THE ATTACHED BILLING HAS BEEN RE-EVALUATED AT THE REQUEST OF THE PROVIDER. BASED ON THIS RE-EVALUATION, WE FIND OUR ORIGINAL REVIEW TO BE CORRECT. THEREFORE, NO ADDITIONAL ALLOWANCE APPEARS TO BE WARRANTED.
- 947 UPHELD, NO ADDITIONAL ALLOWANCE HAS BEEN RECOMMENDED

Issues

Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

This dispute regards occupational therapy services performed in an outpatient setting. Such services are not paid under Medicare's Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) but using Medicare's Physician Fee Schedule. Per DWC's Hospital Facility Fee Guideline, Rule §134.403(h), if Medicare reimburses using other fee schedules, services are paid using DWC guidelines applicable to the code on the date provided. DWC Medical Fee Guideline for Professional Services, Rule §134.203(c), requires the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) be determined by applying Medicare payment policies modified by DWC rules. The MAR is the sum of the geographically adjusted work, practice expense and malpractice values multiplied by the DWC annual conversion factor.

When more than one unit is billed of therapy services designated by multiple-procedure payment indicator '5', Medicare policy requires the first unit of therapy with the highest practice expense for that day be paid in full. Payment is reduced by 50% of the practice expense (PE) for each extra therapy unit provided on that date. Reimbursement is calculated as follows:

- Procedure code 97010, August 1 and August 6, 2018, has status indicator B, denoting a bundled code. Reimbursement is included with payment for other services to which this code is incident.
- Procedure code 97110, August 1 and August 6, 2018, has a Work RVU of 0.45 multiplied by the Work GPCI of 1.007 is 0.45315. The PE RVU of 0.4 multiplied by the PE GPCI of 0.986 is 0.3944. The malpractice RVU of 0.02 multiplied by the malpractice GPCI of 0.747 is 0.01494. The sum is 0.86249 multiplied by the DWC conversion factor of \$58.31 for a MAR of \$50.29. For each extra therapy unit after the first unit of the code with the highest PE, payment is reduced by 50% of the practice expense. This code has the highest PE. The first unit is paid at \$50.29. The second unit is paid at the PE reduced rate of \$38.79. The total is \$89.08, for 2 days is \$178.16
- Procedure code 97140, August 1 and August 6, 2018, has a Work RVU of 0.43 multiplied by the Work GPCI of 1.007 is 0.43301. The PE RVU of 0.35 multiplied by the PE GPCI of 0.986 is 0.3451. The malpractice RVU of 0.01 multiplied by the malpractice GPCI of 0.747 is 0.00747. The sum is 0.78558 multiplied by the DWC conversion factor of \$58.31 for a MAR of \$45.81. The PE for this code is not the highest. Payment is reduced by 50% of the practice expense. The PE reduced rate is \$35.75, for 2 days is \$71.50.

The total allowable reimbursement for the disputed services is \$249.66. The insurance carrier paid \$249.66. The amount due is \$0.00. No additional payment is recommended.

Conclusion

In resolving disputes regarding the amount of payment due for health care determined to be medically necessary and appropriate for treatment of a compensable injury, the role of the division is to adjudicate the payment, given the relevant statutory provisions and division rules.

The division emphasizes that the findings in this decision are based on the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031, the division hereby determines the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute. Authorized Signature

	Grayson Richardson	January 18, 2019
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307.

A party seeking review must submit a *Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision* (form DWC045M) in accordance with the form's instructions. The division must receive the request within twenty days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered either to the division, using the contact information listed on the form, or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Include a copy of this** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other information required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.