



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

Respondent Name

Ace American Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-19-2187-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 15

MFDR Date Received

December 17, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "These medications do not require preauthorization therefore due not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$612.71

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "None of the Extent of Injury/Relatedness/Medical Necessity issues are resolved."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
June 12, 2018	Baclofen, Duloxetine HCL	\$612.71	\$282.75

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out prior authorization requirement for pharmacy services.
4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 216 – Based on the findings of the review organization

Issues

1. Is the requestors' position supported?
2. Is the respondents' position supported?
3. Is the insurance carrier's reason for denial of payment supported?
4. What rule is applicable to reimbursement?

Findings

1. The requestor states, "These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review." 28 TAC §134.530 (g) states,

Retrospective review. Except as provided in subsection (f)(1) of this section, drugs that do not require preauthorization are subject to retrospective review for medical necessity in accordance with §133.230 of this title (relating to Insurance Carrier Audit of a Medical Bill) and §133.240 of this title (relating to Medical Payments and Denials), and applicable provisions of Chapter 19 of this title.

The requestors' position is not supported and will not be considered in this review.

2. The respondent states, "None of the Extent of Injury/Relatedness/Medical Necessity issues are resolved." 28 TAC §133.307 (d)(2)(F) states.

The response shall address only those denial reasons presented to the requestor prior to the date the request for MFDR was filed with the division and the other party. Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered in the review. If the response includes unresolved issues of compensability, extent of injury, liability, or medical necessity, the request for MFDR will be dismissed in accordance with subsection (f)(3)(B) or (C) of this section.

Review of the submitted explanation of benefits found no denial based on medical necessity or extent was presented to the health care provider prior to MFDR. The respondent's position will not be considered in this review.

3. The insurance carrier denied the disputed services with claim adjustment reason code 216 – Based on the findings of a review organization.

Review of the submitted documentation found a "Drug Utilization Assessment" dated March 13, 2018. In this document the following was found:

Baclofen – "Not recommended"

Duloxetine – "Continued use of duloxetine as adjuvant therapy appears reasonable"

Based on the above, the denial of Baclofen is supported. The denial of the Duloxetine is not supported. The fee will be calculated per applicable fee guideline.

4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 (c) states in pertinent part,
 - (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:
 - (1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
 - (A) Generic drugs: $((AWP \text{ per unit}) \times (\text{number of units}) \times 1.25) + \4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (B) Brand name drugs: $((AWP \text{ per unit}) \times (\text{number of units}) \times 1.09) + \4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (C) When compounding, a single compounding fee of \$15 per prescription shall be added to the calculated total for either paragraph (1)(A) or (B) of this subsection; or

Based on the above, the fee is calculated as;

Drug	NDC	Generic(G) /Brand(B)	Price /Unit	Units Billed	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of AWP and Billed
Duloxetine HCL	51991074810	G	\$7.54	30	\$282.75	\$283.73	\$282.75

The total reimbursement is \$282.75. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of each independent medical fee dispute relies upon the relevant evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all the evidence in this dispute may not have been discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$282.75.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$282.75, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

June 28, 2019
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.