# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

# **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy Harford Accident & Indemnity Co

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-19-2184-01 Box Number 47

**MFDR Date Received** 

December 17, 2018

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

<u>Requestor's Position Summary:</u> "The medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$702.68

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "Denial of the compounded medication in dispute was based on the following findings: ODG does not support the use of compounded products."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
June 28, 2018	Baclofen, Amantadine HCL, Gabapentin, Bupivacaine HCL, Amitriptyline HCL, Ethoxy Diglycol, Versapro Cream	\$702.68	\$702.68

# **FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

# **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out prior authorization requirement for pharmacy services.
- 4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - 197 Precertification/authorization/notification absent

### <u>Issues</u>

- 1. Is the insurance carrier's position supported?
- 2. Is the insurance carrier's position supported?
- 3. What rule is applicable to reimbursement?

# **Findings**

1. The respondent states in their position, "ODG does not support the use of compounded products."

28 TAC §133.240 (q) states

(q) When denying payment due to an adverse determination under this section, the insurance carrier shall comply with the requirements of §19.2009 of this title (relating to Notice of Determinations Made in Utilization Review). Additionally, in any instance where the insurance carrier is questioning the medical necessity or appropriateness of the health care services, the insurance carrier shall comply with the requirements of §19.2010 of this title (relating to Requirements Prior to Issuing Adverse Determination), including the requirement that prior to issuance of an adverse determination the insurance carrier shall afford the health care provider a reasonable opportunity to discuss the billed health care with a doctor or, in cases of a dental plan or chiropractic services, with a dentist or chiropractor, respectively.

Review of the submitted adverse determination found insufficient evidence to support the health care provider was notified of the adverse determination in any way.

28 TAC §133.307 (d)(F) states

The response shall address only those denial reasons presented to the requestor prior to the date the request for MFDR was filed with the division and the other party. Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered in the review. If the response includes unresolved issues of compensability, extent of injury, liability, or medical necessity, the request for MFDR will be dismissed in accordance with subsection (f)(3)(B) or (C) of this section.

Review of the submitted explanation of benefits found the denials presented prior to MFDR were not based on an adverse determination.

As the requirements of the two rules shown above were not met, the disputed services will be reviewed per applicable fee guideline.

- 2. The requestor is seeking reimbursement of \$702.68 for a compound dispensed June 17, 2018. The insurance carrier denied the disputed service for lack of preauthorization. However, 28 TAC 134.530 (c) states in pertinent part,
  - (A) drugs identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary, and any updates;
  - (B) any prescription drug created through compounding prescribed before July 1, 2018 that contains a drug identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the *ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp* (ODG) / Appendix A, *ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary*, and any updates;
  - (C) any prescription drug created through compounding prescribed and dispensed on or after July 1, 2018; and
  - (D) any investigational or experimental drug for which there is early, developing scientific or clinical evidence demonstrating the potential efficacy of the treatment, but which is not yet broadly accepted as the prevailing standard of care as defined in Labor Code §413.014(a).

Review of the compound ingredients find none listed to be classified as a "N" drug. The insurance carrier's denial is not supported.

3. 28 TAC Code §134.503 (c) applies to the compound in dispute and states, in pertinent part:

- (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:
  - (1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
    - (A) Generic drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.25) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
    - (B) Brand name drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.09) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
    - (C) When compounding, a single compounding fee of \$15 per prescription shall be added to the calculated total for either paragraph (1)(A) or (B) of this subsection; or

Drug	NDC	Generic(G) /Brand(B)	Price /Unit	Units Billed	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of AWP and Billed
Baclofen	38779038809	G	\$35.63	5.4	\$240.50	\$190.78	\$190.78
Amantadine	38779041105	G	\$24.23	3	\$90.84	\$72.69	\$72.69
Gabapentin	38779246109	G	\$59.85	3.6	\$269.33	\$204.66	\$204.66
Bupivacaine	38779052405	G	\$45.60	1.2	\$68.40	\$54.72	\$54.72
Amitriptyline	38779018904	G	\$18.24	1.8	\$41.04	\$32.83	\$32.83
Ethoxy Diglycol	38779190301	G	\$0.34	4.2	\$1.80	\$1.44	\$1.44
Versapro Cream	38779252903	В	\$3.20	40.8	\$142.31	\$130.56	\$130.56
Fee	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.00
			•	•		Total	\$702.68

The total reimbursement is \$702.68. This amount is recommended.

### Conclusion

<u>Authorized Signature</u>

The outcome of each independent medical fee dispute relies upon the relevant evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all the evidence in this dispute may not have been discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$702.68.

# **ORDER**

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$702.68, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

		June 28, 2019	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.