# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

<u>Requestor Name</u> <u>Respondent Name</u>

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy Texas Municipal League Intergovernmental Risk Pool

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-19-1859-01 Box Number 19

**MFDR Date Received** 

November 29, 2018

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

Requestor's Position Summary: "These medications do not require preauthorization..."

Amount in Dispute: \$267.50

# **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

Respondent's Position Summary: "Any relatedness dispute is unresolved."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden and Latson

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
December 11, 2017	Lenzapatch	\$267.50	\$0.00

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out the requirements for prior authorization
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - 216 Based on the findings of a review organization

#### <u>Issues</u>

1. What rule determines if the service in dispute requires preauthorization?

#### **Findings**

- 1. The requestor is seeking \$267.50 for Lenzapatch that was provided to the claimant on December 11, 2017. The requestor states, "These medications do not require preauthorization..."
  - 28 TAC 134.530 (b) (1) (A) states in pertinent part,
    - (1) Preauthorization is only required for:
      - (A) drugs identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary, and any updates;

Review of Appendix A of the ODG Formulary, finds lidocaine which is part of the Lenzapatch is a "N" drug. The requestor's position is not supported. No additional payment is recommended.

#### Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

#### **ORDER**

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031, the division hereby determines the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute.

# **Authorized Signature**

		July 30, 2019
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 Texas Register 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.