



# TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

## Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

### MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

**Requestor Name**

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

**Respondent Name**

Zurich American Insurance Co

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-19-1775-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative**

Box Number 19

**MFDR Date Received**

November 28, 2018

#### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "The Texas Labor Code Section 408.027 (b) requires that the carrier must pay, reduce, deny or determine to audit the health provider's claim no later than the 45<sup>th</sup> day after the date of receipt by the carrier. Memorial did not receive any correspondence as per rule..."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$1,009.44

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "Our bill audit company stands on their original review."

**Response submitted by:** Gallagher Bassett

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
July 27, 2018	Prescribed oral medication	\$1,009.44	\$1,009.44

#### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for professional medical services.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out the requirements of prior authorization for pharmacy
4. The insurance carrier submitted information that the claims were denied for lack of prior authorization on August 8, 2018 and October 29, 2018.

## Issues

1. Is the insurance carrier's denial supported by DWC rule(s)?
2. What rule is applicable to reimbursement?

## Findings

1. The requestor is seeking reimbursement of oral medication dispensed June 27, 2018. Specifically, Metaxalone 800mg and Lyrica 150 mg. The insurance carrier denied the service based on lack of prior authorization.

28 TAC 134.530 (b)(1)(A) states preauthorization is only required for drugs identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the *ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp* (ODG) / Appendix A, *ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary*, and any updates.

Review of the Appendix A in effect on the disputed date of service found neither of the oral medications required prior authorization as they are not listed as an "N" drug.

The insurance carrier's denial is not supported. The services in dispute will be reviewed per applicable fee guideline.

2. 28 TAC §134.503 (c) states the reimbursement of prescription drugs the lesser of the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed or the provider's billed amount.

Generic drugs:  $((\text{AWP per unit}) \times (\text{number of units}) \times 1.25) + \$4.00$  dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount. Brand name drugs:  $((\text{AWP per unit}) \times (\text{number of units}) \times 1.09) + \$4.00$  dispensing fee per prescription reimbursement amount;

Review of the submitted DWC066 and request for MFDR found the following items in dispute;

- Metaxalone AWP  $\$5.989 \times 1.25 \times 60 = \$449.18$ . The billed amount was \$416.87 this is the allowed amount
- Lyrica AWP  $\$8.917 \times 1.25 \times 60 = \$668.78$ . The billed amount was \$592.57 this is the allowed amount.

The billed amount was \$1,009.44. This amount is recommended.

## Conclusion

In resolving disputes over reimbursement for medically necessary health care to treat a compensable injury, the role of DWC is to adjudicate payment following Texas laws and DWC rules. The findings in this decision are based on the evidence available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons above the requestor has established payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$1,009.44.

**ORDER**

In accordance with Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable) and based on the submitted information, DWC finds the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement. DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$1,009.44, plus accrued interest per Rule §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

**Authorized Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

December 11, 2019  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL**

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**