MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-19-1591-01

MFDR Date Received

November 19, 2018

Respondent Name

Pacific Employers Insurance Company

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 15

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$333.04

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Our bill audit company stands on their original review ... These reevaluations were processed appropriately."

Response Submitted by: Gallagher Bassett

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
May 24, 2018	Gabapentin 300 mg Capsules	\$177.26	\$153.70
May 24, 2018	Cyclobenzaprine 10 mg Tablets	\$155.78	\$126.85
	Total	\$333.04	\$280.55

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 sets out the procedures for payment and denial of medical bills.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 sets out the procedures for resolving medical disputes.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 4. Texas Insurance Code, Chapter 19 sets out the requirements for utilization review.
- 5. The insurance carrier denied payment for the disputed compound based on medical necessity.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Is this dispute subject to dismissal based on medical necessity?
- 2. Is Memorial Compounding Pharmacy (Memorial) entitled to reimbursement for the drugs in question?

Findings

1. Memorial is seeking reimbursement for drugs dispensed on May 24, 2018. Per explanation of benefits dated June 9, 2018, the insurance carrier denied the disputed compound based on medical necessity.

Medical necessity disputes must be resolved prior to submission of a medical fee dispute. The insurance carrier is required to perform a utilization review before a denial based on medical necessity, including giving the health care provider – in this case, Memorial – an opportunity to discuss the treatment in question.

The respondent is required to submit documentation to support a denial based on lack of medical necessity.³ Gallagher Bassett provided no evidence on behalf of the insurance carrier to support that it performed a utilization review on the compound in question to determine medical necessity.⁴

This denial reason is not supported. This dispute is not subject to dismissal based on medical necessity.

- 2. Because the insurance carrier failed to support its denial, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement for the drugs in question. The calculation of the total allowable amount is as follows:
 - Gabapentin 300 mg capsules: (1.3307 x 90 x 1.25) + \$4.00 = \$153.70
 - Cyclobenzaprine 5 mg tablets: (1.092 x 90 x 1.25) + \$4.00 = \$126.85

The total allowable amount is \$280.55. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$280.55.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$280.55, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	July 23, 2019	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

¹ 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305(b)

² 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240(q)

³ 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(I)

⁴ 28 Texas Administrative Codes §§134.240 and 19.2009

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.