



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645
(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

Respondent Name

Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-19-1523-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 1

MFDR Date Received

November 15, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "As of today, we still haven't received this check or a proper explanation of denial."

Amount in Dispute: \$798.06

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The 4/12/18 compounded medication has been denied as medically necessary following completion of a retrospective medical necessity review."

Response Submitted by: Liberty Mutual Insurance

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Table with 4 columns: Dates of Service, Disputed Services, Amount In Dispute, Amount Due. Row 1: April 12, 2018, Compound Medication, \$798.06, \$798.06

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.

Issues

1. Did the insurance carrier raise a new defense in its response?
2. Is Memorial Compounding Pharmacy (Memorial) entitled to reimbursement for the drugs in question?

Findings

1. Memorial is seeking reimbursement for a compound dispensed on April 12, 2018. In its position statement, Liberty Mutual Insurance argued that the disputed compound was denied based on medical necessity.

The response from the insurance carrier is required to address only the denial reasons presented to the requestor the request for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR) was filed with the division. Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered for review.¹

The submitted documentation does not support that a denial based on medical necessity was provided to Memorial before this request for MFDR was filed. Therefore, the division will not consider this argument in the current dispute review as this issue constitutes a new defense.

2. Because the insurance carrier failed to support its denial of reimbursement, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement.

The compound in dispute was billed by listing each drug included in the compound and calculating the charge for each drug separately.² Each ingredient is listed below with its reimbursement amount.³ The calculation of the total allowable amount is as follows:

Drug	NDC	Generic(G) /Brand(B)	Price /Unit	Units Billed	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of AWP and Billed
Flurbiprofen	38779036209	G	\$36.58	6	\$274.35	\$219.48	\$219.48
Meloxicam	38779274601	G	\$194.67	0.18	\$43.80	\$35.04	\$35.04
Mefenamic Acid	38779066906	G	\$123.60	1.8	\$278.10	\$222.48	\$222.48
Baclofen	38779038809	G	\$35.63	3	\$133.61	\$106.89	\$106.89
Bupivacaine	38779052405	G	\$45.60	1.2	\$68.40	\$54.72	\$54.72
Ethoxy Diglycol	38779052405	G	\$0.34	3	\$1.28	\$1.03	\$1.03
Versapro Cream	38779252903	B	\$3.20	44.82	\$156.33	\$143.42	\$143.42
Fee	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.00
						Total	\$798.06

The total reimbursement is therefore \$798.06. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$798.06.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$798.06, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

¹ 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(F)

² 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(d)(2)

³ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c)

Authorized Signature

Signature

Laurie Garnes

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

May 23, 2019

Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.