MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

<u>Requestor Name</u> <u>Respondent Name</u>

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy Ace American Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-19-1363-01 Box Number 15

MFDR Date Received

November 8, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The carrier denied the original bill as well and the reconsideration based on precertification/authorization/notification/pretreatment abset [sic]. I have attached the EOB's as well as the documentation to prove that Memorial Compounding Pharmacy has met the requirements to receive reimbursement."

Amount in Dispute: \$832.41

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Extent of Injury/Relatedness Dispute is unresolved."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due	
May 14, 2018	Pharmacy Services - Compounds	\$832.41	\$447.56	

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out prior authorization requirement for pharmacy services.
- 4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 216 Based on the findings of a review organization

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Did the insurance carrier raise a new issue?
- 2. Is the insurance carrier's reason for denial of payment supported?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for the compound in question?

Findings

 The respondent states in their position, "The Extent of Injury/Relatedness Dispute is unresolved." Review of the submitted documentation finds the PLN-11 that disputes the extent of compensability is dated September and October 2018. These notices are after the date of service in dispute of May 14, 2018. 28 TAC 133.307 (F) states in pertinent part,

The response shall address only those denial reasons presented to the requestor prior to the date the request for MFDR was filed with the division and the other party. Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered in the review.

The respondents' position will not be considered in this review.

2. The requestor is seeking reimbursement of \$832.41 for oral medications dispensed on May 14, 2018. The insurance carrier denied the service as 216 – "Based on the findings of a review organization."

Review of the submitted documentation for a Drug Utilization Assessment conducted by Coventry on March 13, 2018 found the following:

- Gabapentin 600 mg Based on the available documentation, there are no recommendations for change at this time. Additionally, no suggestions based on a pharmacological assessment are noted. Continue to monitor for efficacy and tolerability.
- Duloxetine HCl 60 mg Therefore, the continued use of duloxetine as adjuvant therapy appears reasonable
- Baclofen 10 mg ...long-term use of muscle relaxants is not recommended. Recommend a drug holiday to reassess for ongoing clinical need

Based on the above, the insurance carriers' denial is upheld for the Baclofen but unsupported for the Gabapentin and Duloxetine. These two medications will be reviewed per applicable fee guideline.

- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 (c) applies to the medication in dispute and states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:
 - (1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
 - (A) Generic drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.25) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (B) Brand name drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.09) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (C) When compounding, a single compounding fee of \$15 per prescription shall be added to the calculated total for either paragraph (1)(A) or (B) of this subsection; or

The maximum allowable reimbursement is calculated as:

Drug	NDC	Generic(G) /Brand(B)	Price /Unit	Units Billed	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of AWP and Billed
Duloxetine	51991074810	G	\$7.54	30	\$282.75	\$283.73	\$282.75
Gabapentin	71093011105		\$2.52	60	\$164.81	\$208.70	\$164.81
						Total	\$447.56

The total reimbursement is \$447.56. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

Authorized Signature

Signature

The outcome of each independent medical fee dispute relies upon the relevant evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all the evidence in this dispute may not have been discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$447.56.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$447.56, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

July 18, 2019

Date

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.