



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645
(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

Respondent Name

TASB Risk Mgmt Fund

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-19-1135-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 47

MFDR Date Received

October 29, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The carrier denied the reconsideration based on lack of preauthorization or preauthorization was absent."

Amount in Dispute: \$555.68

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "A peer review on file indicates that topical compounded medications are not supported by ODG and their use as a first line therapy is not recommended."

Response Submitted by: TASB Risk Management Fund

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Table with 4 columns: Dates of Service, Disputed Services, Amount In Dispute, Amount Due. Row 1: February 9, 2018, Baclofen, Amantadine, Gabapentin, Bupivacaine, Amitriptyline, \$555.68, \$555.68

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out prior authorization requirement for pharmacy services.
4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
- 55 - Investigational and experimental
- 216 - Based on findings of a review organization
- 197 - Payment adjusted for absence of precertification/authorization
- 114 - Pre-auth is required for any drug identified as investigational or experimental

Issues

1. Is the insurance carrier’s response supported?
2. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for the compound in question?

Findings

1. The respondent states, “A peer review on file indicates that topical compound medications are not supported by ODG and their use as a first line therapy is not recommended.”

28 TAC §133.240 (q) states in pertinent part,

When denying payment due to an adverse determination under this section, the insurance carrier shall comply with the requirements of §19.2009 of this title (relating to Notice of Determinations Made in Utilization Review). Additionally, in any instance where the insurance carrier is questioning the medical necessity or appropriateness of the health care services, the insurance carrier shall comply with the requirements of §19.2010 of this title (relating to Requirements Prior to Issuing Adverse Determination), including the requirement that prior to issuance of an adverse determination the insurance carrier shall afford the health care provider a reasonable opportunity to discuss the billed health care with a doctor or, in cases of a dental plan or chiropractic services, with a dentist or chiropractor, respectively

Review of the submitted documentation found insufficient evidence to support that the health care provider was given a reasonable opportunity to discuss the billed health care with a doctor. Based on the above the insurance carrier’s position is not supported. The denial based on this adverse determination will not be considered in this review.

2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 (c) applies to the compounds in dispute and states, in pertinent part:

- (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:
 - (1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
 - (A) Generic drugs: $((AWP \text{ per unit}) \times (\text{number of units}) \times 1.25) + \4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (B) Brand name drugs: $((AWP \text{ per unit}) \times (\text{number of units}) \times 1.09) + \4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (C) When compounding, a single compounding fee of \$15 per prescription shall be added to the calculated total for either paragraph (1)(A) or (B) of this subsection; or

| Drug | NDC | Generic(G) /Brand(B) | Price /Unit | Units Billed | AWP Formula | Billed Amt | Lesser of AWP and Billed |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Baclofen | 38779038809 | G | \$35.63 | 5.4 | \$240.50 | \$190.78 | \$190.78 |
| Amantadine | 38779041105 | G | \$24.23 | 3 | \$90.84 | \$72.69 | \$72.69 |
| Gabapentin | 38779246109 | G | \$59.85 | 3.6 | \$269.33 | \$204.66 | \$204.66 |
| Bupivacaine | 38779052405 | G | \$45.60 | 1.2 | \$68.40 | \$54.72 | \$54.72 |
| Amitriptyline | 38779018904 | G | \$18.24 | 1.8 | \$41.04 | \$32.83 | \$32.83 |
| | | | | | | Total | \$555.68 |

The total reimbursement is \$555.68. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of each independent medical fee dispute relies upon the relevant evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all the evidence in this dispute may not have been discussed, it was considered. The amount ordered is \$555.68.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$555.68, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

July 31, 2019
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.