MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-19-0716-01

MFDR Date Received

October 9, 2018

Respondent Name

Hartford Underwriters Insurance

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 47

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary:</u> "The carrier denied the reconsideration based on lack of preauthorization or preauthorization was absent. These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$798.06

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "Compounded medication in dispute is not medically necessary or supported under current evidenced-based treatment recommendations."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
January 20, 2018	Pharmacy Services - Compounds	\$798.06	\$798.06

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502 sets out the procedures for pharmaceutical benefits.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 75

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Is the carrier's position and denial supported?
- 2. What rule is applicable to reimbursement?

Findings

1. The respondent states in their position statement, "Compounded medication in dispute is not medically necessary..."

28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(F) states, in relevant part, "The response shall address only those denial reasons presented to the requestor prior to the date the request for MFDR was filed with the division and the other party. Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered in the review."

Review of the submitted documentation found insufficient evidence to support the insurance carrier presented a medical necessity denial in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 prior to the date the request for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR) was filed. DWC concludes that this defense will not be considered for review

- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 applies to the compounds in dispute and states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:
 - (1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
 - (A) Generic drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.25) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (B) Brand name drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.09) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (C) When compounding, a single compounding fee of \$15 per prescription shall be added to the calculated total for either paragraph (1)(A) or (B) of this subsection; or

Ingredient	NDC	Price/	Total	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of
		Unit	Units	§134.503(c)(1)	§134.503	(c)(1) and
					(c)(2)	(c)(2)
Flurbiprofen	38779036209	\$36.58	6	\$274.35	\$219.48	\$219.48
Meloxicam	38779274601	\$194.67	0.18	\$43.80	\$35.04	\$35.04
Mefenamic acid	38779066906	\$123.60	1.8	\$278.10	\$222.48	\$222.48
Baclofen	38779038809	\$35.63	3	\$133.61	\$106.89	\$106.89
Bupivacaine	38779052405	\$45.60	1.2	\$68.40	\$54.72	\$54.72
Ethoxy Diglycol	38779190301	\$0.34	3	\$1.28	\$1.03	\$1.03
Versapro Cream	38779252903	\$3.20	44.82	\$156.33	\$143.42	\$143.42
Compounding Fee		\$15.00	1	n/a	\$15.00	\$15.00
			•	_		\$798.06

The total reimbursement is \$798.06. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$798.06.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$798.06, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

<u>Authorized Signature</u>		
		November 9, 2018
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and** *Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.