

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

ALISON WALLS, PHD

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-19-0648-01

MFDR Date Received

OCTOBER 4, 2018

Respondent Name

AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER CO INC

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The carrier has not paid this claim in accordance with TDI-DWC Rule 133 and 134."

Requestor's Supplemental Position Summary: "There is a balance of \$504.19."

Amount in Dispute: \$504.19

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "We escalated this review to our bill review vendor and abased on additional review have issued payment to Alison Walls PHD in the amount of \$801.48 which was the additional allowed by fee guidelines...We have paid interest owed on this in the amount of \$12.11."

Response Submitted by: CCMSI

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
May 7, 2018	CPT Code 90791(X1)	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CPT Code 96101 (X17)	\$504.19	\$504.19
TOTAL		\$504.19	\$504.19

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, sets out the fee guidelines for

reimbursement of professional medical services provided in the Texas workers' compensation system.

- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 5056-Based on the receipt of additional information and/or clarification, we are recommending further payment be made for the above noted procedure code(s).
 - W3-Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.

Issues

- 1. What is the applicable fee guideline for professional services?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for code 96101(X17)?

Findings

- 1. The fee guidelines for disputed services are found in 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203.
- 2. Based upon the submitted documentation the requestor billed \$2,252.84 and was paid \$1,736.54 for code 96101(X17) based upon the fee guideline.
 - 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(a)(5) states "Medicare payment policies" when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, and values or weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare."
 - 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 (b)(1) states "For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following: (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules."

On the disputed date of service, the requestor billed codes 90791 and 96101(X17). Only 96101(X17) is in dispute.

The requestor contends that reimbursement is due because "The carrier has not paid this claim in accordance with TDI-DWC Rule 133 and 134."

CPT code 96101 is defined as "Psychological testing (includes psychodiagnostic assessment of emotionality, intellectual abilities, personality and psychopathology, eg, MMPI, Rorschach, WAIS), per hour of the psychologist's or physician's time, both face-to-face time administering tests to the patient and time interpreting these test results and preparing the report."

A review of the submitted billing and medical records finds that the requestor billed for seventeen units of code 96101.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(c)(1)(2), "To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications.

- (1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83. For Surgery when performed in a facility setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$66.32.
- (2) The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year's conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year's conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year. The following hypothetical example illustrates this annual adjustment activity if the Division had been using this MEI annual percentage adjustment: The 2006 Division conversion factor of \$50.83 (with the exception of surgery) would have been multiplied by the 2007 MEI annual percentage increase of 2.1 percent, resulting in the \$51.90 (with the exception of surgery) Division conversion factor in 2007."

To determine the MAR the following formula is used: (DWC Conversion Factor/Medicare Conversion Factor) X Participating Amount = Maximum Allowable Reimbursement (MAR).

The 2018 DWC conversion factor for this service 58.31.

The Medicare Conversion Factor is 35.9996.

Review of Box 32 on the CMS-1500 the services were rendered in zip code 778504, which is located in McAllen, Texas; therefore the Medicare carrier locality is "Rest of Texas".

The Medicare participating amount for code 96101 is \$82.47.

Using the above formula, the Division finds the MAR is \$133.58 X 17 = \$2,270.86. The respondent paid \$1,736.54. The difference between MAR and paid is \$534.32. The requestor is seeking a lesser amount of \$504.19; this amount is recommended in additional reimbursement.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$504.19.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$504.19 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

		12/13/2018
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 Texas Register 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.