



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645
(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Texas Health Plano

Respondent Name

Travelers Indemnity Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-19-0601-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 5

MFDR Date Received

October 2, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The purpose of this letter is to inform you that payment for services provided to the above referenced patient does not comply with Chapters 134.403 and 134.404 of Texas Administrative Code."

Amount in Dispute: \$813.25

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Provider is not entitled to separate reimbursement for the disputed services."

Response Submitted by: Travelers

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Table with 4 columns: Dates of Service, Disputed Services, Amount In Dispute, Amount Due. Row 1: May 10, 2018, 70450, 96361 -59, 96374 -59, 96375 -59, \$813.25, \$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for outpatient hospital services.
3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
- 97 - Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated
- P12 - Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment

Issues

1. Are the insurance carrier's reasons for denial and reduction of payment supported?
2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?

Findings

1. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$813.25 for outpatient hospital services rendered on May 10, 2018. The insurance carrier reduced disputed services with claim adjustment reason code P12 – “Workers’ compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment” and 97 – “Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.”

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 (d) states in pertinent part,

For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of health care covered in this section, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided

The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at www.cms.gov, Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4. The application of this payment policy in conjunction with the Division fee guideline is discussed below.

2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, (f) states,

The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register*. The following minimal modifications shall be applied.

(1) The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by:

(A) 200 percent; unless

(B) a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement in accordance with subsection (g) of this section, in which case the facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 130 percent.

Review of the medical bill finds separate payment for implants was not requested. The maximum allowable reimbursement is calculated as follows.

Medicare's Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) assigns an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) and Status Indicator for billed services based on procedure codes and supporting documentation. The APC determines the payment rate. The status indicator identifies whether the service described by the HCPCS code is paid under the OPPS and if so, whether payment is made separately or packaged. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) publishes quarterly lists of APC rates and Status Indicators in the OPPS final rules, available from www.cms.gov.

Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:

- Procedure code 70450 - has a status indicator of Q3 - Payment is packaged into a single payment for **specific combinations** of services. The assigned Composite APC is 8005 – “CT without contrast composite.” Payment for this code is **combined** with the other CT procedure billed under Code 72125. The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$274.84, multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$164.90, in turn multiplied by the facility wage index of 0.9756 for an adjusted labor amount of \$160.88. The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$109.94. The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$270.82. The Medicare facility specific amount of \$270.82 is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$541.64. The carrier paid \$541.64. No additional reimbursement is recommended.

- Procedure codes 96361, 96374 and 96375 have edits with code 99285 as being “bundled.” The health care provider used the -59 modifier as indication of “Separate and distinct procedure.”

28 TAC §134.403 (d) states in pertinent part,

For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of health care covered in this section, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided.

Review of the Medicare payment policy regarding use of the “59” modifier found at www.cms.gov states,

Distinct Procedural Service: Under certain circumstances, it may be necessary to indicate that a procedure or service was **distinct or independent from other non-E/M services performed on the same day**. Modifier 59 is used to identify procedures/services, other than E/M services, that are not normally reported together, but are appropriate under the circumstances. Documentation must support a different session, different procedure or surgery, different site or organ system, separate incision/excision, separate lesion, or separate injury (or area of injury in extensive injuries) not ordinarily encountered or performed on the same day by the same individual

Modifier 59 and other NCCI-associated modifiers should NOT be used to bypass a PTP edit unless the proper criteria for use of the modifier are met. Documentation in the medical record must satisfy the criteria required by any NCCI-associated modifier that is used.

Review of the “ED Provider Notes,” page 19, indicates the following;

- Hyoscyamine (Levsin) solution IV Push
- Ondansetron (Zofran) intravenous
- Normal Saline 1,000 ml (IV Bolus)

Based on this review insufficient evidence was found to support the services in dispute are separate and distinct from the emergency department services.

The carrier’s denial of 97 – “Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated” is supported. No additional payment is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031, the division hereby determines the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

October 31, 2018

Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.