MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

AHMED KHALIFA, MD TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CORP

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-19-0445-01 Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

SEPTEMBER 27, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The carrier has reduced this claim inappropriately and not in accordance and compliance with TDI-DWC Rule 133 and 134....We are providing supporting documentation specifically explaining and outlining our position in accordance with Rule 133 and 134 governing bills/claims submitted in reference to workers compensation treatment and services."

Amount in Dispute: \$136.73

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The requester billed \$121.73 for code 99214. Texas Mutual paid \$00.00 as the documentation of the History was comprehensive, the Exam was expanded problem focused, and Medical Decision Making was low complexity, which does not meet the CPT criteria for the code. No payment is due."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

| Dates of Service | Disputed Services | Amount In Dispute | Amount Due |
|-------------------|---|----------------------|------------|
| February 22, 2018 | CPT Code 99214 Office Visit | \$121.73 | \$0.00 |
| | CPT Code 99080-73 Work Status Report | \$15.00 | \$0.00 |
| TOTAL | | \$136.73 | \$0.00 |

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.239, effective July 7, 2016, sets out medical fee guidelines for workers' compensation specific services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for professional services.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §129.5, effective July 16, 2000, sets out the procedure for reporting and billing work status reports.
- 5. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - CAC-150-Payer deems the information submitted does not support this level of service.
 - CAC-16-Claim/service lacks information or has submission/billing error(s) which is needed for adjudication.
 - CAC-P12-Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
 - 248-DWC-73 in excess of the filing requirements. No change in work status and/or missing doctors signature; reimbursement denied per rule 129.5.
 - 225-The submitted documentation does not support the service being billed. We will re-evaluate this upon receipt of clarifying information.
 - 890-Dened per AMA CPT code description for level of service and/or nature of presenting problems.
 - CAC-W3, 350-In accordance with TDI-DWC rule 134.804, this bill has been identified as a request for reconsideration or appeal.
 - CAC-193-Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
 - 891-No additional payment after reconsideration.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. What is the applicable fee guideline?
- 2. Does the documentation support billing CPT code 99214? Is the requestor due reimbursement?
- 3. Does the documentation support billing code 99080-73? Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

- 1. The fee guidelines for disputed services are found in 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203.
- 2. The insurance carrier denied reimbursement for the office visit, CPT code 99214, based upon reason code "CAC-150-Payer deems the information submitted does not support this level of service," "CAC-16-Claim/service lacks information or has submission/billing error(s) which is needed for adjudication," "225-The submitted documentation does not support the service being billed. We will re-evaluate this upon receipt of clarifying information, "and "890-Dened per AMA CPT code description for level of service and/or nature of presenting problems." The respondent states, "Texas Mutual paid \$00.00 as the documentation of the History was comprehensive, the Exam was expanded problem focused, and Medical Decision Making was low complexity, which does not meet the CPT criteria for the code."

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(a)(5) states "Medicare payment policies" when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, and values or weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare."

On the disputed dates of service, the requestor billed CPT code 99214 described as, "Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient, which requires at least 2 of these 3 key components: A detailed history; A detailed examination; Medical decision making of moderate complexity. Counseling and/or coordination of care with other physicians, other qualified health care professionals, or agencies are provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the patient's and/or family's needs. Usually, the presenting problem(s) are of moderate to high severity. Typically, 25 minutes are spent face-to-face with the patient and/or family."

The division finds the documentation does not support billing 99214, specifically a detailed history and detailed examination; therefore, the respondent's denial of payment is supported.

3. CPT code 99080-73 is defined as "Special reports such as insurance forms, more than the information conveyed in the usual medical communications or standard reporting form."

The respondent denied reimbursement based upon, "248-DWC-73 in excess of the filing requirements. No change in work status and/or missing doctors signature; reimbursement denied per rule 129.5.

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.239 states, "When billing for a work status report that is not conducted as a part of the examinations outlined in §134.240 and §134.250 of this title, refer to §129.5 of this title."

28 Texas Administrative Code §129.5(i)(1) states "Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, a doctor may bill for, and a carrier shall reimburse, filing a complete Work Status Report required under this section or for providing a subsequent copy of a Work Status Report which was previously filed because the carrier, its agent, or the employer through its carrier, asks for an extra copy. The amount of reimbursement shall be \$15. A doctor shall not bill in excess of \$15 and shall not bill or be entitled to reimbursement for a Work Status Report which is not reimbursable under this section. Doctors are not required to submit a copy of the report being billed for with the bill if the report was previously provided. Doctors billing for Work Status Reports as permitted by this section shall do so as follows: (1) CPT code "99080" with modifier "73" shall be used when the doctor is billing for a report required under subsections (d)(1), (d)(2), and (f) of this section."

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §129.5 (d)(1) and (2) states "The doctor shall file the Work Status Report:
- (1) after the initial examination of the employee, regardless of the employee's work status;
- (2) when the employee experiences a change in work status or a substantial change in activity restrictions."

The requestor did not submit documentation to support that DWC-73 report was filed and billed in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §129.5. As a result, reimbursement is not recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

| Authorized Signature | | |
|----------------------|--|------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | 10/24/2018 |
| Signature | Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer | Date |

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.