



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645
(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

Respondent Name

XL Specialty Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-19-0403-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

September 25, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The Texas Labor Code Section 408.027 (b) requires that the carrier must pay, reduce, deny or determine to audit the health provider's claim no later than the 45th day after the date of receipt by the carrier. Memorial did not receive any correspondence as per rule..."

Amount in Dispute: \$798.06

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Payment has been disputed as the medication was no found to be medically necessary."

Response Submitted by: Broadspire

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Table with 4 columns: Dates of Service, Disputed Services, Amount In Dispute, Amount Due. Row 1: April 28, 2018, Pharmacy Services - Compounds, \$798.06, \$559.93

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 sets out requirements of adverse determinations.
4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
- 50 - These are non-covered services because this is not deemed a medical necessity by the payer

Issues

1. Did the insurance carrier meet requirements of Division rules relevant to adverse determination?
2. What rule is applicable to reimbursement?

Findings

1. The requestor is seeking reimbursement of \$798.06 for a compound dispensed April 28, 2018. The insurance carrier denied the disputed compound with claim adjustment reason code 50 – “These are non-covered services because this is not deemed a medical necessity by the payer.”

28 TAC 133.240 (q) states in pertinent part,

When denying payment due to an adverse determination under this section, the insurance carrier shall comply with the requirements of §19.2009 of this title (relating to Notice of Determinations Made in Utilization Review). Additionally, in any instance where the insurance carrier is questioning the medical necessity or appropriateness of the health care services, the insurance carrier shall comply with the requirements of §19.2010 of this title (relating to Requirements Prior to Issuing Adverse Determination), including the requirement that prior to issuance of an adverse determination the insurance carrier shall afford the health care provider a reasonable opportunity to discuss the billed health care with a doctor or, in cases of a dental plan or chiropractic services, with a dentist or chiropractor, respectively.

Review of the submitted documentation found insufficient evidence to support the insurance carrier complied with the provisions of the above rule. Therefore, insurance carrier’s denial will not be considered in this review and the services in dispute will be reviewed per applicable fee guideline.

2. 28 TAC §134.503 applies to the compounds in dispute and states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:
 - (1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
 - (A) Generic drugs: $((AWP \text{ per unit}) \times (\text{number of units}) \times 1.25) + \4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (B) Brand name drugs: $((AWP \text{ per unit}) \times (\text{number of units}) \times 1.09) + \4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (C) When compounding, a single compounding fee of \$15 per prescription shall be added to the calculated total for either paragraph (1)(A) or (B) of this subsection;

The fee calculation is shown below.

Ingredient	NDC	Price/ Unit	Total Units	AWP Formula §134.503(c)(1)	Billed Amt §134.503 (c)(2)	Lesser of (c)(1) and (c)(2)	DWC 060 Amount in Dispute
Baclofen	38779038809	\$36.58	6	\$274.35	\$219.48	\$219.48	\$35.04
Amantadine	38779041105	\$194.67	0.18	\$43.80	\$35.04	\$35.04	\$35.04
Gabapentin	38779190301	\$123.60	1.8	\$278.10	\$222.48	\$222.48	\$222.48
Bupivacaine	38779052405	\$35.63	3	\$133.61	\$106.89	\$106.89	\$106.89
Amitriptyline	38779018904	\$45.60	1.2	\$68.40	\$54.72	\$54.72	\$1.03
Ethoxy Diglycol	38779190301	\$0.34	3	\$1.28	\$1.03	\$1.03	\$1.03
Versapro Cream	38779252903	\$3.20	44.82	\$156.33	\$143.42	\$143.42	\$143.42
Compounding Fee	NA	\$15.00	1	NA	\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.00
Total						\$702.68	\$559.93

The submitted DWC060 reflects an amount of \$559.93. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above DWC finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$559.93.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$559.93, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 TAC §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

October 26, 2018
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.