MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

<u>Requestor Name</u> <u>Respondent Name</u>

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy ACE American Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-19-0334-01 Box Number 15

MFDR Date Received

September 21, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$267.50

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "After receipt of the medical bill, Respondent had the bill retrospectively reviewed for medical necessity ... The physician did review the medication prescribed and found the medication was not medically necessary."

Response Submitted by: Downs-Stanford, P.C.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
March 29, 2018	Compound Medication	\$267.50	\$232.90

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 sets out the procedures for payment or denial of a medical bill.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 sets out the procedures for resolving medical disputes.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502 sets out the procedures for pharmaceutical benefits.
- 5. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 6. 28 Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 19 sets out the requirements for utilization review.
- 7. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services based on medical necessity.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Is this dispute subject to dismissal based on medical necessity?
- 2. Is Memorial Compounding Pharmacy (Memorial) entitled to reimbursement for the disputed service?

Findings

1. Memorial is seeking reimbursement for Lenzapatch 4%-1% dispensed on March 29, 2018. The insurance carrier denied the disputed service based on medical necessity as determined by peer review.

Medical necessity disputes must be resolved prior to submission of a medical fee dispute.¹ The insurance carrier is required to perform a utilization review before a denial based on medical necessity, including giving the health care provider – in this case, Memorial – an opportunity to discuss the treatment in question.²

Downs-Stanford, P.C. submitted a document on behalf of ACE American Insurance Company from Novare to support its denial of the disputed service. The Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC) that the submitted document does not support that the insurance carrier performed a utilization review for the service in question as Downs-Stanford, P.C. provided no evidence that Memorial was given an opportunity to discuss the service prior to the insurance carrier's denial based on medical necessity.³

The DWC concludes that this dispute is not subject to dismissal based on medical necessity.

- 2. Because the insurance carrier failed to sufficiently support its denial of reimbursement, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement. The reimbursement is calculated as follows⁴:
 - Lenzapatch 4%-1%: (42.00 x 5 x 1.25) + \$4.00 = \$232.90

The total allowable reimbursement amount is \$232.90. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the DWC finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$232.90.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$232.90, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	November 6, 2018		
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date		

¹ 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305(b)

² 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240(q)

³ 28 Texas Administrative Code §19.2009(b)

⁴ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c)

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.