# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor NameRespondent NameMEMORIAL COMPOUNDING RXUnited Airlines Inc

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative Box

M4-18-5255-01 BOX 19

Fee Dispute Request ReceivedResponse Submitted by:August 28, 2018Flahive Ogden & Latson

# **REQUESTOR POSITION SUMMARY**

"The carrier has received the attached bill and has not processed according to Texas Labor Code 408.027."

## RESPONDENT

The respondent's positions are not to be considered. See Rule 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(F). No denial reasons were presented to Memorial Compounding Pharmacy *before the filing of this medical fee dispute*. United Airlines Inc failed to issue an explanation of benefits for the service in dispute within the timeframe specified in Texas Labor Code 408.027(b) and corresponding 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240.

## SUMMARY OF REQUEST AND DIVISION ORDER

| Disputed Date of Service | Disputed Service    | Disputed Amount | Division Order |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| March 14, 2018           | Compound Medication | \$555.68        | \$555.68       |

## **AUTHORITY**

Texas Labor Code §413.031 (c). In resolving disputes over the amount of payment due for medically necessary services for treatment of the compensable injury, the role of the medical fee dispute resolution program is to adjudicate the payment given the relevant statutory provisions and commissioner rules.

Rule 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the process for medical fee dispute resolution for non-network care. Non-network health care-Health care not delivered or arranged by a certified workers' compensation health care network as defined in Insurance Code Chapter 1305 and related rules. "Non-network health care" includes health care delivered pursuant to Labor Code §408.0281 and §408.0284.

# **Background**

Work Comp Carrier's Obligation to Respond to a Medical Bill

It is the duty of the workers' compensation insurance carrier **or an agent acting on the carrier's behalf** to pay, reduce, or deny a complete medical bill within 45 days from the date of receipt. A carrier's 45-day deadline to make or deny payment is **not extended** as a result of an audit under 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.230, or as a result

of a pending request for additional documentation.<sup>1</sup>

Further, the insurance carrier **shall** notify the health care provider of its final action<sup>2</sup> by issuing an explanation of benefits (EOB) and shall include on its EOB any bill reductions, denial reasons, and defenses in the form and manner required by 28 TAC §133.240.<sup>3</sup>

Carrier's Failure to Present Denial Reasons and Defenses

Under Rule §133.307, the division only reviews those denial reasons and defenses presented by the carrier to the health care provider prior to the date the request for MFDR was filed. Any denial reasons or defenses raised by the carrier after the filing of the dispute are not considered in the review of the medical fee dispute.<sup>4</sup>

# **Findings**

The provider, MEMORIAL COMPOUNDING RX, presented evidence sufficient to support that it requested payment from United Airlines Inc for a compound provided to a covered injured employee. United Airlines Inc did not pay, reduce, or deny the complete medical bill in 45 days. Due to United Airlines Inc's failure to take final action and timely issue an EOB, the provider then asked for reconsideration and requested an EOB as required. United Airlines Inc did not respond to the request for reconsideration. The provider then filed for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR).

1. Did United Airlines Inc timely present denial reasons to the provider before the filing of this fee dispute?

No evidence was presented by United Airlines Inc or its agent to support that it responded to the complete medical bill within 45 days; nor did United Airlines Inc or its agent present any evidence to support that it responded to the request for reconsideration and request for an EOB. United Airlines Inc therefore failed to present any denial reasons or defenses to the provider before the filing of this medical fee dispute.

Because no defenses were presented to the provider before the filing of this medical fee dispute, all the defenses raised by United Airlines Inc in its response to the medical fee dispute are new defenses and will not be considered in this review. 28 TAC 133.307(d)(2)(F).

United Airlines Inc failed to present any defenses that conform with the requirements of 28 TAC §133.240 and 133.250 discussed above. Absent any evidence that United Airlines Inc or an agent acting on United Airlines Inc's behalf timely presented any defenses to the provider that conform with the requirements of Title 28, Part 2, Chapter 133, Subchapter C, the Division finds that the medications are eligible for reimbursement.

2. What is the total reimbursement for the compound in dispute?

Rule 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 applies to the reimbursement for medications. The medications in dispute are listed on the bill separately. <sup>6</sup>

The calculation of the total allowable amount is as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 (a)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.2 (6) Final action on a medical bill-- (A) sending a payment...(B) denying a charge on the medical bill.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 (e) The insurance carrier shall send the explanation of benefits in accordance with the elements required by §133.500 and §133.501...if the insurance carrier submits the explanation of benefits in the form of an electronic remittance. The insurance carrier shall send an explanation of benefits in accordance with subsection (f) of this section if the insurance carrier submits the explanation of benefits in paper form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 (d)(2)(F) The carrier's response shall address only those denial reasons presented to the requestor prior to the date the request for MFDR was filed with the division and the other party. Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered in the review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.250

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503

| Drug          | NDC         | Generic(G) /Brand(B) | Price /Unit | Units<br>Billed | AWP<br>Formula | Billed Amt | Lesser of AWP and Billed |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Baclofen      | 38779038809 | G                    | \$35.63     | 5.4             | \$240.50       | \$190.78   | \$190.78                 |
| Amantadine    | 38779041105 | G                    | \$24.23     | 3               | \$90.84        | \$72.69    | \$72.69                  |
| Gabapentin    | 38779246109 | G                    | \$59.85     | 3.6             | \$269.33       | \$204.66   | \$204.66                 |
| Bupivacaine   | 38779052405 | G                    | \$45.60     | 1.2             | \$68.40        | \$54.72    | \$54.72                  |
| Amitriptyline | 38779018904 | G                    | \$18.24     | 1.8             | \$41.04        | \$32.83    | \$32.83                  |
|               |             |                      |             |                 |                | Total      | \$555.68                 |

The total reimbursement is therefore \$555.68. This amount is recommended.

## **Decision**

For the reasons above, the division finds that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$555.68.

#### **DIVISION ORDER**

The division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$555.68, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

| <u>Authorized Signature</u> |  |               |  |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------|--|
|                             |  |               |  |
|                             | Laurie Garnes                          | April 8, 2019 |  |
| Signature                   | Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer | Date          |  |

#### RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may seek review of this division decision. To appeal, submit form division Form-045M titled *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* found at <a href="https://www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html">https://www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html</a>.

Follow the instructions on pages 3 and 4. The request must be received by the division within twenty days of your receipt of this decision. This decision becomes final if the request for review of this decision is not submitted within twenty days.

The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

If you have questions about the division Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, Option 3 or you may email your question to CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, Option 1.