

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

TEXAS HEALTH HEB TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-18-4850-01 Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

August 9, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "We show that the 97161 therapy charges are not bundled and should have

processed for payment"

Amount in Dispute: \$129.63

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "The requestor billed code 97161GP, on its OPPS bill, without reporting the functional G code and appropriate modifier."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Dispute Amount	Amount Due
September 11, 2017	Outpatient Facility Services: 88304, 29880, 97161	\$129.63	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the hospital facility fee guideline for outpatient services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the fee guideline for professional medical services.
- 4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - P12 WORKERS' COMPENSATION JURISDICTIONAL FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
 - 246 THIS NON-PAYABLE CODE IS FOR REQUIRED REPORTING ONLY.
 - 370 THE HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT ALLOWANCE WAS CALCULATED ACCORDING TO THE APC RATE, PLUS A MARKUP.
 - 618 THE VALUE OF THIS PROCEDURE IS PACKAGED INTO THE PAYMENT OF OTHER SERVICES PERFORMED ON THE SAME DATE OF SERVICE.
 - 631 PT, OT, OR SP CODE PRESENT WITHOUT REQURIED NON-PAYBLE G CODE.
 - 767 PAID PER O/P FG AT 200%: IMPLANTS NOT APPLICABLE OR SEPARATE REIMBURSEMENT (WITH CERT) NOT REQUESTED PER RULE 134.403(G)
 - 193 ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS
 PROCESSED PROPERLY.
 - W3 ADDITIONAL PAYMENT MADE ON APPEAL/RECONSIDERATION.

- 350 IN ACCORDANCE WITH TDI-DWC RULE 134.804, THIS BILL HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED AS A REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OR APPEAL.
- 724 NO ADDITIONAL PAYMENT AFTER A RECONSIDERATION OF SERVICES. FOR INFORMATION CALL 1-800-937-6824

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. What is the recommended payment for the services in dispute?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. This dispute regards outpatient facility services subject to DWC's Hospital Facility Fee Guideline, Rule §134.403, requiring the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) to be the Medicare facility specific amount applying Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) formulas and factors published in the Federal Register, as modified by DWC rules. Rule §134.403(f)(1) requires the Medicare facility specific amount and any outlier payment be multiplied by 200% for the disputed facility services.

Medicare assigns an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) to OPPS services based on billed procedure codes and supporting documentation. The APC determines the payment rate. Reimbursement for ancillary items and services is packaged with the APC payment. CMS publishes quarterly APC rate updates, available at www.cms.gov.

Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:

- Procedure code 88304 has status indicator Q1, for packaged codes paid separately only if OPPS criteria are met; reimbursement is packaged with payment for comprehensive code 29880 billed on the same claim.
- Procedure code 29880 has status indicator J1, for procedures paid at a comprehensive rate. All covered services on the bill are packaged with the primary "J1" procedure (with limited exceptions not present on this bill). This code is assigned APC 5113. The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$2,438.34, multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$1,463.00, in turn multiplied by the facility wage index of 0.9618 for an adjusted labor amount of \$1,407.11. The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$975.34. The cost of services does not exceed the threshold for outlier payment. The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is the Medicare facility specific amount of \$2,382.45, which is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$4,764.90.
- Procedure code 97161 is a physical therapy evaluation code. Rule §134.403(d) requires that for coding, billing, reporting and reimbursement of covered health care, system participants shall apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided (with additions or exceptions specified by rule). Per Medicare payment policy, functional G-codes, along with severity modifiers, must always accompany codes for therapy evaluative services. The provider did not report any functional G-codes with this evaluation code. The insurance carrier's denial reason is supported. Regardless, payment for this service is included with the payment for the comprehensive service code 29880 above. Additional payment cannot be recommended.
- Payment for all other services on the bill is packaged with primary comprehensive J1 service 29880 according to
 Medicare policy regarding comprehensive APCs. Reimbursement for all items is included in the payment for the
 primary procedure. Please see Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 4 §10.2.3 for further details.
- 2. The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$4,764.90. The insurance carrier paid \$4,775.15. Additional payment is not recommended.

Conclusion

In resolving disputes regarding the amount of payment due for health care determined to be medically necessary and appropriate for treatment of a compensable injury, the role of the division is to adjudicate the payment, given the relevant statutory provisions and division rules.

The division would like to emphasize that the findings and decision in this dispute are based on the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031, the division hereby determines the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute. Authorized Signature

	Con and Biglion days	C1
	Grayson Richardson	<u>September 13, 2018</u>
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307.

A party seeking review must submit a *Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision* (form DWC045M) in accordance with the form's instructions. The division must receive the request within twenty days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered either to the division, using the contact information listed on the form, or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Include a copy of this** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other information required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.