MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Respondent Name

Julio Regalado, D.C.

Hartford Accident & Indemnity Company

MFDR Tracking Number

Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-18-4727-01

Box Number 47

MFDR Date Received

August 3, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Testing is Not included in RE Exam ... 95851 ROM Pays at 28.13 Per Unit ... 4

Units Performed = 112.52"

Amount in Dispute: \$112.52

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "Review of documentation does not support separately identifiable muscle testing procedure above and beyond the usual evaluation procedure."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
April 4, 2018	Range of Motion Testing (95851 x 4)	\$112.52	\$112.52

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the fee guidelines of professional medical services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.235 sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine the extent of the compensable injury.
- 4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 97 Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.

- 243 The charge for this procedure was not paid since the value of this procedure is included/bundled within the value of another procedure performed.
- W3 Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.
- 193 Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
- 1014 The attached billing has been re-evaluated at the request of the provider. Based on this re-evaluation, we find our original review to be correct. Therefore, no additional allowance appears to be warranted.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Are the insurance carrier's reasons for denial of payment supported?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for the services in question?

Findings

- 1. Julio Regalado, D.C. is seeking reimbursement for range of motion testing performed in conjunction with an examination to determine the extent of the compensable injury. Hartford Accident & Indemnity Company (Hartford) denied the services as bundled or included in the examination.
 - An examination by a designated doctor to determine the extent of a compensable injury, represented by CPT code 99456 with modifiers "W6" and "RE," is a division-specific service not subject to Medicare billing rules. If the doctor determines that additional testing is required to make a determination, the testing "be billed using the appropriate CPT codes and reimbursed in addition to the examination fee."
 - The division finds that range of motion testing is separately payable when performed with an examination to determine the extent of a compensable injury. Hartford's denial of payment is not supported.
- 2. Documentation submitted to the division supports that Dr. Regalado performed range of motion testing for both upper extremities and both lower extremities. Range of motion testing, represented by CPT code 95851, is billed at one unit for each extremity. Therefore, Dr. Regalado is entitled to reimbursement of these services at four units.
 - Reimbursement for the services in question are based on Medicare policies using the conversion factor determined by the division for the appropriate year.² The conversion factor for 2018 is \$58.31.³ Therefore, the maximum allowable reimbursement is \$134.18. Dr. Regalado is seeking \$112.52. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$112.52.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$112.52, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

¹ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.235

² 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(b) and (c)

³ https://www.tdi.texas.gov/bulletins/2018/documents/001718table.pdf#CY2019 Table of Conversion Factors

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	February 8, 2019
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and** *Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.