



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645
(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

Respondent Name

Trumbull Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-18-4086-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 47

MFDR Date Received

June 25, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Please review and pay in accordance with the fee schedule along with the appropriate interest."

Amount in Dispute: \$726.62

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Medication was Non Certified."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Table with 4 columns: Dates of Service, Disputed Services, Amount In Dispute, Amount Due. Row 1: October 25, 2017, Compound Medication, \$726.62, \$726.62

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee schedule for pharmaceutical services.
3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
- 25 - The prescriber ID submitted (Field 411-DB) was either missing or invalid.
- N26 - Claim/service lacks information or has submission/billing error(s) which is needed for adjudication.
- 27 - Expenses incurred after coverage terminated

## Issues

1. Did the insurance carrier raise a new defense in its response?
2. Is the dispute in question subject to dismissal based on liability?
3. Is the insurance carrier's denial of payment based on lack of information supported?
4. Is Memorial Compounding Pharmacy (Memorial) entitled to additional reimbursement?

## Findings

1. In its position statement, The Hartford, on behalf of the insurance carrier, argued that "The Overall Decision on the case is Non-Certified."

The response from the insurance carrier is required to address only the denial reasons presented to the requestor the request for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR) was filed with the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC). Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered in this review.<sup>1</sup>

The submitted documentation does not support that a denial based on medical necessity was provided to Memorial before this request for MFDR was filed. Therefore, the DWC will not consider this argument in the current dispute review as this argument is a new defense.

2. The insurance carrier denied the compound, in part, based on liability. A dispute regarding liability must be resolved prior to a request for medical fee dispute.<sup>2</sup>

The respondent is required to attach a copy of any related Plain Language Notice (PLN) if the medical fee dispute involves compensability or liability. Review of the submitted documentation finds that The Hartford failed to attach a copy of a related PLN on behalf of Trumbull Insurance Company to support a denial based on liability.

The dispute in question is not subject to dismissal as this denial reason was not sufficiently supported.

3. The insurance company also denied the compound in question based on a lack of information and missing or invalid prescriber ID. Review of the documentation submitted does not support this denial reason.
4. Because the insurance carrier failed to sufficiently support its denial of reimbursement, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement.

The compound in dispute was billed by listing each drug included in the compound and calculating the charge for each drug separately.<sup>3</sup> Each ingredient is listed below with its reimbursement amount.<sup>4</sup> The calculation of the total allowable amount is as follows:

Drug	NDC	Generic(G) /Brand(B)	Price /Unit	Units Billed	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of AWP and Billed
Meloxicam	38779274601	G	\$194.67	0.18	\$43.80	\$35.04	\$35.04
Flurbiprofen	38779036209	G	\$36.58	4.8	\$219.48	\$175.58	\$175.58
Tramadol	38779237409	G	\$36.30	6	\$272.25	\$217.80	\$217.80
Cyclobenzaprine	38779039509	G	\$46.33	1.8	\$104.24	\$83.39	\$83.39
Bupivacaine	38779052405	G	\$45.60	1.2	\$68.40	\$54.72	\$54.72
Ethoxy Diglycol	38779190301	G	\$0.34	3	\$1.28	\$1.03	\$1.03
Versapro Cream	38779252903	B	\$3.20	45.02	\$157.03	\$144.06	\$144.06
Fee	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.00
						<b>Total</b>	<b>\$726.62</b>

The total reimbursement is therefore \$726.62. This amount is recommended.

<sup>1</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(F)

<sup>2</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Codes §§133.305(b) and 133.307(c)(1)(B)(i)

<sup>3</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(d)(2)

<sup>4</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c)

**Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$726.62.

***ORDER***

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$726.62, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

**Authorized Signature**

	Laurie Garnes	July 10, 2019
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

***YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL***

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**