# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

**Requestor Name** 

**Memorial Compounding Pharmacy** 

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-18-3931-01

**MFDR Date Received** 

June 12, 2018

**Respondent Name** 

**New Hampshire Insurance Company** 

**Carrier's Austin Representative** 

Box Number 19

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$674.00

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "This bill was denied for lack of medical necessity, based upon the UR for the original fill of this prescription."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
October 20, 2017	Compound Medication	\$566.53	\$0.00
October 20, 2017	Tramadol HCl 50 mg Tablets	\$107.47	\$66.47
	Total	\$674.00	\$66.47

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 sets out the procedures for payment, reduction, or denial of a medical bill.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §§134.530 and 134.540 set out the preauthorization guidelines for pharmaceutical services.

5. The insurance carrier reduced payment based on medical necessity.

### <u>Issues</u>

- 1. Are the services in question subject to dismissal based on medical necessity?
- 2. Is Memorial entitled to reimbursement for Tramadol HCl 50 mg tablets?

# **Findings**

1. Memorial is seeking reimbursement for a compound medication dispensed on October 20, 2017. New Hampshire Insurance Company denied payment to Memorial due to an unresolved medical necessity issue. Memorial was notified of the denial via an explanation of benefits issued in the manner and within the timeframe required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240.

Additionally, the insurance carrier presented a copy of documentation required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(l). Specifically, New Hampshire Insurance Company supported that it conducted utilization review and presented a denial based on adverse determination for the compound in question to Memorial.

The division concludes that an unresolved medical necessity issue exists for the compound in dispute. Medical fee dispute resolution is not the proper venue for resolution of a medical necessity dispute.

Memorial is hereby notified that the correct remedy for resolution of a medical necessity denial is found at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.308 titled *MDR of Medical Necessity Disputes*.

To initiate a request for resolution of a medical necessity denial, the health care provider should complete and file a DWC Form LHL009 titled *REQUEST FOR A REVIEW BY AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW ORGANIZATION (IRO)*. A copy of the form and the form instructions are attached.

Memorial is also seeking reimbursement for Tramadol 50 mg tablets dispensed on October 20, 2017. The documentation submitted does not include a utilization review was performed for Tramadol 50 mg tablets to support a denial based on an adverse determination.<sup>1</sup>

2. Because the insurance carrier failed to support its denial of payment for Tramadol 50 mg tablets, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement for the drug in question.

The reimbursement considered in this dispute is calculated as follows<sup>2</sup>:

Tramadol 50 mg tablets: (0.83289 x 60 x 1.25) + \$4.00 = \$66.47

The total reimbursement is therefore \$66.47. This amount is recommended.

### **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$66.47.

### **ORDER**

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$66.47, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240(q)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c)

# **Authorized Signature**

	Laurie Garnes	May 23, 2019
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.