MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Respondent Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

Texas Mutual Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number

Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-18-3741-01

Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

June 4, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$566.53

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "Compound powders typically require an agent such as ethoxy diglycol to deliver the compound medication to the skin surface for absorption. Texas Mutual declined to issue payment absent billing for such an agent as required by Rule 134.502."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
September 7, 2017	Compound Medication	\$566.53	\$566.53

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.10 sets out the requirements for a complete pharmacy bill.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.210 sets out the documentation requirements for medical bills.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502 sets out the procedures for pharmaceutical benefits.
- 5. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 6. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - CAC-P12 Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.

- CAC-16 Claim/service lacks information or has submission/billing error(s) which is needed for adjudication.
- CAC-193 Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
- 872 Rule 134.502 requires compound drugs be billed by listing each drug included and calculating the charge for each drug separately.
- 874 Documentation does not support use of the medication in topical form.
- 891 No additional payment after reconsideration.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Is the insurance carrier's reason for denial of payment based on billing errors supported?
- 2. Is the insurance carrier's reason for denial of payment based on documentation supported?
- 3. Is Memorial Compounding Pharmacy (Memorial) entitled to reimbursement for the compound in dispute?

Findings

 Memorial is seeking reimbursement for a compound dispensed on September 7, 2017. Texas Mutual Insurance Company (Texas Mutual) denied the disputed compound with claim adjustment reason code 16 – "CLAIM/SERVICE LACKS INFORMATION OR HAS SUBMISSION/BILLING ERROR(S) WHICH IS NEEDED FOR ADJUDICATION."

Review of the submitted pharmacy bills finds no submission or billing errors. The insurance carrier failed to support this denial in its position statement.

2. Texas Mutual also denied the disputed service with claim adjustment reason code 874 – "DOCUMENTATION DOES NOT SUPPORT USE OF THE MEDICATION IN TOPICAL FORM."

Documentation is not required to be submitted with pharmacy bill.² If the insurance carrier requires additional documentation to process the medical bill, the request must:

- be in writing;
- be specific to the bill;
- specifically describe the information to be included in the response;
- be relevant and necessary for the resolution of the bill;
- be for information that is part of the injured employee's medical or billing record maintained by the health care provider, in this case, Memorial Compounding Pharmacy;
- indicate the specific reason for which the insurance carrier is requesting the information; and
- include a copy of the medical bill requiring the documentation.³

The insurance carrier may request a letter of medical necessity if "the insurance carrier could reasonably support a denial based upon extent of, or relatedness to the compensable injury, or based upon an adverse determination" without the letter of medical necessity. A request for a letter of medical necessity from the prescribing physician must be copied to the billing party, in this case, Memorial Compounding Pharmacy, when the request is made.

No documentation was found to support that the carrier made an appropriate request for additional documentation a letter of medical necessity. The Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC) concludes that Texas Mutual failed to support this denial reason.

¹ 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.10(f)(3)

² 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.210(c)

³ 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.210(d)

⁴ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(e)

3. Because Texas Mutual failed to support its denial of reimbursement, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement in accordance with applicable rules and laws.

The compound in dispute was billed by listing each **drug** included in the compound and calculating the charge for each drug separately.⁵ Each ingredient is listed below with its reimbursement amount.⁶ The calculation of the total allowable amount is as follows:

Drug	NDC	Generic(G) /Brand(B)	Price /Unit	Units Billed	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of AWP and Billed
Meloxicam	38779274601	G	\$194.67	0.18	\$43.80	\$35.04	\$35.04
Flurbiprofen	38779036209	G	\$36.58	4.8	\$219.48	\$175.58	\$175.58
Tramadol	38779237409	G	\$36.30	6	\$272.25	\$217.80	\$217.80
Cyclobenzaprine	38779039509	G	\$46.33	1.8	\$104.25	\$83.39	\$83.39
Bupivacaine	38779052405	G	\$45.60	1.2	\$68.40	\$54.72	\$54.72
						Total	\$566.53

The total allowable reimbursement is \$566.53. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of each independent medical fee dispute relies upon the relevant evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all the evidence in this dispute may not have been discussed, it was considered. For the reasons stated above, the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC) finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$566.53.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$566.53, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Auth	orized	Sign	nature

	Laurie Garnes	October 30, 2018	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and** *Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

⁵ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(d)(2)

⁶ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c)