

Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48) 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645 (512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

# **GENERAL INFORMATION**

<u>Requestor Name</u> Memorial Compounding Pharmacy <u>Respondent Name</u> Sentinel Insurance Company Ltd

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-18-3734-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 47

MFDR Date Received

June 4, 2018

# **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>:** "These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$726.62

# **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

Respondent's Position Summary: "... the request is not medically necessary."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

# SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
September 30, 2017	Pharmaceutical Compound	\$726.62	\$726.62

# FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

# **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502 sets out the procedures for pharmaceutical benefits.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out the closed formulary requirements for claims not subject to certified networks.
- 5. The insurance carrier denied payment with the following claim adjustment reason codes:
  - P2 Not a work related injury/illness and thus not the liability of the workers' compensation carrier.
  - 75

#### <u>Issues</u>

- 1. Did the insurance carrier raise a new defense in its response?
- 2. Is this dispute subject to dismissal due to the compensability of the injury?
- 3. Is the insurance carrier's reason for denial of payment supported?
- 4. Is Memorial Compounding Pharmacy (Memorial) entitled to reimbursement for the compound in question?

#### **Findings**

1. Memorial is seeking reimbursement for a compound dispensed on September 30, 2017. In its position statement, The Hartford, on behalf of the insurance carrier, argued that "the request is not medically necessary."

The response from the insurance carrier is required to address only the denial reasons presented to the requestor the request for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR) was filed with the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).<sup>1</sup> Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered in this review.

The submitted documentation does not support that a denial based on medical necessity was provided to Memorial before this request for MFDR was filed. Therefore, the DWC will not consider this argument in the current dispute review.

2. The insurance carrier denied the disputed compound, in part, based on the compensability of the injury. Per submitted explanation of benefits dated October 13, 2017, the pharmacy bill was originally received by the insurance carrier on or before this date.

Explanation of benefits dated March 13, 2018, denying the compound based on compensability is more than 45 days after the date the original complete bill was received.<sup>2</sup>

The insurance carrier has the obligation to dispute whether a treatment was for the compensable injury within 45 days after receiving a complete medical bill.<sup>3</sup> The DWC notes that the insurance carrier failed to present evidence of a denial for compensability presented to Memorial within 45 days from the date it received the complete pharmacy bill. Therefore, the DWC finds that the dispute in question is not subject to dismissal based on this denial reason.

- 3. The insurance carrier also denied the disputed compound based on preauthorization. Preauthorization is only required for:
  - drugs identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG Appendix A<sup>4</sup>;
  - any compound that contains a drug identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG Appendix A; and
  - any investigational or experimental drug.<sup>5</sup>

The compound in question does not contain an ingredient identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG, Appendix A.

The determination of a service's investigational or experimental nature is determined on a case by case basis through utilization review.<sup>6</sup> The Hartford provided no evidence that the insurance carrier engaged in a prospective or retrospective utilization review to establish that the specific compound considered in this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(F)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240(a)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "A carrier has up to forty-five days from the date it receives a complete medical bill to dispute whether that treatment was necessary." State Office of Risk Management v. Lawton, 295 S.W.3d 646 (Tex. 2009), <u>https://caselaw.findlaw.com/tx-supreme-court/1388209.html</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.540(b)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Texas Insurance Code §19.2005(b)

review is investigational or experimental. The insurance carrier's preauthorization denial is therefore not supported.

4. Because the insurance carrier failed to sufficiently support its denial of reimbursement, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement.

The compound in dispute was billed by listing each drug included in the compound and calculating the charge for each drug separately.<sup>7</sup> Each ingredient is listed below with its reimbursement amount.<sup>8</sup> The calculation of the total allowable amount is as follows:

Drug	NDC	Generic(G) /Brand(B)	Price /Unit	Units Billed	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of AWP and Billed
Meloxicam	38779274601	G	\$194.67	0.18	\$43.80	\$35.04	\$35.04
Flurbiprofen	38779036209	G	\$36.58	4.8	\$219.48	\$175.58	\$175.58
Tramadol	38779237409	G	\$36.30	6	\$272.25	\$217.80	\$217.80
Cyclobenzaprine	38779039509	G	\$46.33	1.8	\$104.24	\$83.39	\$83.39
Bupivacaine	38779052405	G	\$45.60	1.2	\$68.40	\$54.72	\$54.72
Ethoxy Diglycol	38779190301	G	\$0.34	3	\$1.28	\$1.03	\$1.03
Versapro Cream	38779252903	В	\$3.20	45.02	\$157.03	\$144.06	\$144.06
Fee	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.00
						Total	\$726.62

The total reimbursement is therefore \$726.62. This amount is recommended.

#### **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$726.62.

# ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$726.62, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

# Authorized Signature

Signature

Laurie Garnes Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer October 26, 2018 Date

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(d)(2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c)

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.