MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Respondent Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

New Hampshire Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-18-3689-01

Box Number 37

MFDR Date Received

June 1, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary:</u> "The carrier denied the reconsideration based on lack of preauthorization or preauthorization was absent. These medication due not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$261.11

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Requestor did not request and receive preauthorization for this investigation or experimental compound formulation."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
September 20, 2017	Baclofen 10 mg tablet	\$261.11	\$254.25

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - HE75 Prior authorization required

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Is the carrier's reason for denial of payment supported?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for the compound in question?

Findings

The requestor is seeking reimbursement of \$261.11 for Baclofen tablets dispensed September 20, 2017. The
carrier denied the disputed medication with claim adjustment reason code HE75 – "Prior authorization
required."

For the service in dispute the applicable rule is 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(b)(2) which states that preauthorization is **only** required for:

drugs identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp
 (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary, and any updates;

The division finds that the medication rendered on the date of service in question is not a drug identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG, *Appendix A*. Therefore, the division concludes that the medication in question did not require preauthorization and the carrier's denial of payment for this reason is not supported. Therefore, the disputed medication will be reviewed for reimbursement.

- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 (c) applies to the medication in dispute and states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:
 - (1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
 - (A) Generic drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.25) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (B) Brand name drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) $\times 1.09$) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;

Ingredient	NDC	Price/ Unit	Total Units	AWP Formula §134.503(c)(1)	Billed Amt §134.503	Lesser of (c)(1) and
					(c)(2)	(c)(2)
Baclofen 10 mg tablet	00603240632	\$2.26	90	\$254.25	\$261.11	\$254.25
					Total	\$254.25

The total reimbursement is \$254.25. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of each independent medical fee dispute relies upon the relevant evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all the evidence in this dispute may not have been discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$254.25.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$254.25, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

		November 30, 2018
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

Authorized Signature

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.