



# TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

## Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### GENERAL INFORMATION

**Requestor Name**

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

**Respondent Name**

American Zurich Insurance Company

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-18-2524-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative**

Box Number 19

**MFDR Date Received**

March 12, 2018

### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "The carrier denied the reconsideration based on unresolved issues of extent of injury. A call was placed to carrier to confirm patient demographics as well as compensability. We were not notified of any disputes or PLN11 filed."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$702.68

### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "The request should be DISMISSED in the face of this unresolved medical necessity dispute ... The requestor did not request and receive preauthorization for this investigational or experimental compound formulation."

**Response Submitted by:** Flahive, Ogden & Latson

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
July 26, 2017	Pharmaceutical Compound	\$702.68	\$702.68

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

**Background**

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 sets out the procedures for resolving medical disputes.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - P6 – Based on entitlement to benefits.

## Issues

1. Is this dispute subject to dismissal based on liability?
2. Did the insurance carrier raise a new defense in its response?
3. Is Memorial Compounding Pharmacy (Memorial) entitled to additional reimbursement?

## Findings

1. Memorial is seeking reimbursement for a compound dispensed on July 26, 2017. American Zurich Insurance Company (Zurich) denied the compound based on liability. A dispute regarding the liability of a claim must be resolved prior to a request for medical fee dispute.<sup>1</sup>

The respondent is required to attach a copy of any related Plain Language Notice (PLN) if the medical fee dispute involves compensability or liability.<sup>2</sup> Review of the submitted documentation finds that Flahive, Ogden & Latson failed to attach a copy of a related PLN on behalf of the insurance carrier to support a denial based on relatedness to the compensable injury.

The dispute in question is not subject to dismissal as this denial reason was not sufficiently supported.

2. In its position statement, Flahive, Ogden & Latson argued on behalf of the insurance carrier that “The request should be DISMISSED in the face of this unresolved medical necessity dispute ... The Requestor did not request and receive preauthorization for this investigational or experimental compound formulation.”

The response from the insurance carrier is required to address only the denial reasons presented to the requestor the request for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR) was filed with the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers’ Compensation (DWC). Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered in this review.<sup>3</sup>

The submitted documentation does not support that denials based on medical necessity or preauthorization were provided to Memorial before this request for MFDR was filed. Therefore, the DWC will not consider these arguments in the current dispute review.

3. Because the insurance carrier failed to sufficiently support its denial of reimbursement, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement.

The compound in dispute was billed by listing each drug included in the compound and calculating the charge for each drug separately.<sup>4</sup> Each ingredient is listed below with its reimbursement amount.<sup>5</sup> The calculation of the total allowable amount is as follows:

Drug	NDC	Generic(G) /Brand(B)	Price /Unit	Units Billed	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of AWP and Billed
Baclofen	38779038809	G	\$35.63	5.4	\$240.50	\$190.78	\$190.78
Amantadine	38779041105	G	\$24.23	3	\$90.84	\$72.69	\$72.69
Gabapentin	38779246109	G	\$59.85	3.6	\$269.33	\$204.66	\$204.66
Bupivacaine	38779052405	G	\$45.60	1.2	\$68.40	\$54.72	\$54.72
Amitriptyline	38779018904	G	\$18.24	1.8	\$41.04	\$32.83	\$32.83
Ethoxy Diglycol	38779190301	G	\$0.34	4.2	\$1.80	\$1.44	\$1.44
Versapro Cream	38779252903	B	\$3.20	40.8	\$142.31	\$130.56	\$130.56
Fee	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.00
						<b>Total</b>	<b>\$702.68</b>

The total reimbursement is therefore \$702.68. This amount is recommended.

<sup>1</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Codes §§133.305(b) and 133.307(c)(1)(B)(i)

<sup>2</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(H)

<sup>3</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(F)

<sup>4</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(d)(2)

<sup>5</sup> 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c)

**Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$702.68.

***ORDER***

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$702.68, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

**Authorized Signature**

	Laurie Garnes	November 29, 2018
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

***YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL***

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**