MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-18-2375-01

MFDR Date Received

March 5, 2018

Respondent Name

American Zurich Insurance Co

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary:</u> "The carrier denied the reconsideration based on lack of preauthorization or preauthorization was absent. These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$702.68

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "The attached utilization review, based in part on the ODG which deems such topicals investigation or experimental, observed that none of the medications in the disputed compound are medically necessary or appropriate components of a drug regimen for this patient."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
August 15, 2017	Pharmacy Services - Compounds	\$702.68	\$702.68

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 set out the prior authorization requirements.
- 3. Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 sets out requirements of adverse determinations.
- 5. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 39 Services denied at the time authorization/pre-certification was requested

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Did the respondent raise a new defense pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307?
- 2. What rule is applicable to reimbursement?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for the compound in question?

Findings

1. In its position statement, the respondent states, "...none of the medications in the disputed compound are medically necessary or appropriate components of a drug regimen for this patient."

28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(F) states, in relevant part, "The response shall address only those denial reasons presented to the requestor prior to the date the request for MFDR was filed with the division and the other party. Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered in the review."

Review of the submitted documentation finds that insurance carrier failed to present a medical necessity denial to the health care provider in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 prior to the date the request for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR) was filed. Because this assertion constitutes a new defense pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(F), it will not be considered in this review.

2. The requestor is seeking reimbursement of \$702.68 for a compound dispensed August 15, 2017. The insurance carrier denied the disputed compound with claim adjustment reason code 39 – "Services denied at the time authorization/pre-certification was request."

For the dates of service in dispute the applicable rule is 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 (b) which states that preauthorization is **only** required for:

- drugs identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary, and any updates;
- any compound that contains a drug identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG
 Treatment in Workers' Comp (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary, and
 any updates; and
- any investigational or experimental drug for which there is early, developing scientific or clinical evidence demonstrating the potential efficacy of the treatment, but which is not yet broadly accepted as the prevailing standard of care as defined in Labor Code §413.014(a).

The division finds that the compound rendered on the date of service in question does not include a drug identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG, *Appendix A*. Therefore, the division concludes that the compound in question did not require preauthorization and the carrier's denial of payment for this reason is not supported. Therefore, the disputed compound will be reviewed for reimbursement.

- 3. 28 TAC §134.503 applies to the compounds in dispute and states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:
 - (1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
 - (A) Generic drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.25) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (B) Brand name drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) $\times 1.09$) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (C) When compounding, a single compounding fee of \$15 per prescription shall be added to the calculated total for either paragraph (1)(A) or (B) of this subsection;

The reimbursement is calculated as follows.

Ingredient	NDC	Price/	Total	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of
		Unit	Units	§134.503(c)(1)	§134.503	(c)(1) and
					(c)(2)	(c)(2)
Meloxicam	38779274601	\$194.67	0.18	\$43.80	\$35.04	\$35.04
Flurbiprofen	38779036209	\$36.58	4.8	\$219.48	\$175.58	\$175.58
Tramadol	38779237409	\$36.30	6	\$272.25	\$217.80	\$217.80
Cyclobenzaprine	38779039509	\$46.33	1.8	\$104.24	\$83.39	\$83.39
Bupivacaine	38779052405	\$45.60	1.2	\$68.40	\$54.72	\$54.72
Ethoxy Diglycol	38779190301	\$0.34	3	\$1.28	\$1.03	\$1.03
Versapro Cream	38779252903	\$3.20	45.02	\$157.03	\$144.06	\$144.06
Compounding	N/A	\$15.00	1	N/A	\$15.00	\$15.00
Fee	IN/A	\$15.00	1	IN/A	\$13.00	\$15.00
					Total	\$702.68

The total reimbursement is \$702.68. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, DWC finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$702.68.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$702.68, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 TAC §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

		December 7, 2018
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and** *Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.