



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645
(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

TEXAS SURGICAL CENTER

Respondent Name

FEDEX FREIGHT INC

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-18-0971-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

DECEMBER 7, 2017

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The attached claim was not paid according to the 2017 Texas Ambulatory Surgical Center Fee Schedule."

Amount in Dispute: \$2,507.25

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "It is the carrier's position that the provider has been reimbursed in accordance with the Medical Fee Guideline."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Table with 4 columns: Dates of Service, Disputed Services, Amount In Dispute, Amount Due. Rows include July 17, 2017 for Ambulatory Surgical Care Services (CPT Code 63650 and 95972) and a Total row.

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.402, effective August 31, 2008, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for ambulatory surgical care services.

3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 252-An attachment/other documentation is required to adjudicate this claim/service
 - W3-Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.
 - 6981-Charges for surgical implants are reviewed separately by ForeSight Medical.
 - 193-Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
 - P12-Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
 - 1126-This reconsideration reflects corrected charge amounts.

Issues

Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for ASC services for CPT code 63650?

Findings

1. The fee guideline for ASC services is found in 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.402.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.402(b) (6) states, "Definitions for words and terms, when used in this section, shall have the following meanings, unless clearly indicated otherwise. "Medicare payment policy" means reimbursement methodologies, models, and values or weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare."
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.402(d) states "For coding, billing, and reporting, of facility services covered in this rule, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions specified in this section, including the following paragraphs."
4. CPT code 63650 is described as "Percutaneous implantation of neurostimulator electrode array, epidural" Per ADDENDUM AA, CPT code 63650 is a device intensive procedure and is exempt from the multiple procedure rule discounting.
5. Division rule at 28 TAC §134.402(d) states " For coding, billing, and reporting, of facility services covered in this rule, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions specified in this section."
6. The requestor did not request separate reimbursement for the implantables; therefore, Division rule at 28 TAC §134.402(f)(2)(A)(i)(ii) applies to this dispute.

Division rule at 28 TAC §134.402(f)(2)(A)(i)(ii) states "The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare ASC reimbursement amount determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Payment System Policies for Services Furnished in Ambulatory Surgical Centers and Outpatient Prospective Payment System reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register*. Reimbursement shall be based on the fully implemented payment amount as in ADDENDUM AA, ASC COVERED SURGICAL PROCEDURES FOR CY 2008, published in the November 27, 2007 publication of the *Federal Register*, or its successor. The following minimal modifications apply: (2) Reimbursement for device intensive procedures shall be: (A) the sum of: (i) the ASC device portion; and (ii) the ASC service portion multiplied by 235 percent."

7. Division rule at 28 TAC §134.402(f)(2)(A)(i)(ii) reimbursement for device intensive procedure code 63650 is a two step process:

Step 1 calculating the device portion of the procedure:

The national reimbursement is found in the Addendum B for National Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) code 63650 for CY 2017 = \$5,742.69.

This number multiplied by the device dependent APC offset percentage for National Hospital OPPS reimbursement of 53.55% = \$3,075.21.

Step 2 calculating the service portion of the procedure:

Per Addendum AA, the Medicare fully implemented ASC reimbursement rate for code 63650 is \$4,421.66.

Per the Medicare fully implemented ASC reimbursement rate of \$4,421.66 is divided by 2 = \$2,210.83.

This number multiplied by the City Wage Index for Midland, TX $\$2,210.83 \times 0.9123 = \$2,016.94$.

The sum of these two is the geographically adjusted Medicare ASC reimbursement = \$4,227.77.

The service portion is found by taking the national adjusted rate of \$4,227.77 minus the device portion of \$3,075.21 = \$1,152.56.

Multiply the geographical adjusted ASC reimbursement by the DWC payment adjustment $\$1,152.56 \times 235\% = \$2,708.51$.

The MAR is determined by adding the sum of the reimbursement for the device portion of \$3,075.21 + the geographically adjusted service portion of \$2,708.51 = \$5,783.72.

The requestor billed for 2; therefore, $\$5,783.72 \times 2 = \$11,567.44$. The insurance carrier paid \$12,352.23. As a result, additional reimbursement is not recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|
| _____ | _____ | 10/2/2018 |
| Signature | Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer | Date |

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.