



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645
(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

CHRONIC PAIN RECOVERY CENTER

Respondent Name

INSURANCE CO OF THE STATE OF PA

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-16-2475-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

APRIL 18, 2016

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The charges referenced herein were filed with the Carrier and significantly reduced. Upon appeal, additional payment was made, however, still significantly reduced.."

Amount in Dispute: \$3,325.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The DWC-60 shows a disputed amount of \$3,325.00 and that the carrier paid \$1,375.00. The carrier actually paid \$2,375.00 per the attached Explanation of Bill review forms."

Response Submitted by: AIG

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Table with 4 columns: Dates of Service, Disputed Services, Amount In Dispute, Amount Due. Rows include service dates from April 20, 2015 to April 22, 2015, and a TOTAL row.

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving a medical fee dispute.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204, effective March 1, 2008, sets the reimbursement guidelines for the disputed service.
3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
- W1-Workers compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
- XF27-The bill was reviewed in accordance with FS guidelines. No additional payment is recommended.
- The charge for the procedure exceeds the amount indicted in the fee schedule.

Issues

1. Did the requestor’s documentation support the billed services?
2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. The respondent paid for the disputed services based upon reason codes “W1” and “XF27.”

A review of the submitted Chronic Pain Program Charge Sheet documents 23.5 hours of chronic pain management on the disputed dates of service.

2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(h)(1)(A) states “If the program is CARF accredited, modifier "CA" shall follow the appropriate program modifier as designated for the specific programs listed below. The hourly reimbursement for a CARF accredited program shall be 100 percent of the MAR.”

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(h)(5)(A) and (B) states “The following shall be applied for billing and reimbursement of Chronic Pain Management/Interdisciplinary Pain Rehabilitation Programs

(A) Program shall be billed and reimbursed using CPT Code 97799 with modifier “CP” for each hour. The number of hours shall be indicated in the units column on the bill. CARF accredited Programs shall add “CA” as a second modifier.

(B) Reimbursement shall be \$125 per hour. Units of less than one hour shall be prorated in 15 minute increments. A single 15 minute increment may be billed and reimbursed if greater than or equal to eight minutes and less than 23 minutes.”

The Division finds that the requestor billed CPT code 97799-CP-CA for 23.5 hours on the disputed dates of service. Therefore, per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(h)(1)(A) and (5)(A) and (B), the MAR for a CARF accredited program is \$125.00 per hour. $\$125.00 \times 23.5 = \$2,937.50$. The carrier indicated that they actually paid \$2,375.00. The Division finds that the respondent included payment for a date of service not in dispute in the calculation. The submitted explanation of benefits, support the respondent paid \$1,375.00 for the disputed services. Therefore, the difference between the MAR and amount paid is \$1,562.50. This amount is recommended for reimbursement.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$1,562.50.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$1,562.50 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130 due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	5/5/2016 Date
-----------	--	------------------

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812