



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Consultants in Pain Medicine

Respondent Name

Travelers Indemnity Co of Conn

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-16-2352-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 05

MFDR Date Received

April 11, 2016

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: No position statement submitted.

Amount in Dispute: \$156.89

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Carrier has reviewed the Medicare coding edits applicable to urine drug screens and disagrees that separate reimbursement is allowable."

Response Submitted by: The Travelers Companies

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
October 22, 2015	Urinary Drug Screens	\$156.89	\$156.89

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for professional medical services.
- The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 243 – The charge for this procedure was not paid since the value of this procedure is included/bundled within the value of another procedure performed.
 - 97 – Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.
 - 16 – Claim/service lacks information which is needed for adjudication.

- P12 – Workers’ compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.

Issues

1. Is the respondent’s position supported?
2. Are the insurance carrier’s reasons for denial or reduction of payment supported?
3. What is the applicable rule pertaining to reimbursement?
4. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. The respondent states in their position statement, “Further, the Carrier denied reimbursement on the basis that required documentation was not included with the billing. ...In the current dispute, the Provider has not submitted a copy of the order requesting the urine drug screen as required by the Medicare edits, and did not do so in the bill submission either. As such, the Provider has failed to comply with the Medicare edits regarding required documentation as directed by Chapter 133.” Review of the submitted documentation finds a Physician’s order dated October 22, 2015, signed by Dr. Michael Murphy, for “Panel M.” Therefore, the Carrier’s position is not supported.
2. The insurance carrier denied disputed services with claim adjustment reason code 97 – “Allowance included in another service.” 28 TAC §134.203(b)(1) states that

For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following:

- (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiative (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules.” §134.203(a)(5) states that “‘Medicare payment policies’ when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, values and weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare.

The services in dispute are clinical laboratory services; therefore, Medicare policies for the clinical laboratory services must be met. The services in dispute are addressed in the CMS Clinical Laboratory Fee Schedule. The requestor billed.

- G6041 – Assay of urine alkaloids
- G6045 – Assay of dihydrocodeinone
- G6046 – Assay of dihydromorphinone
- G6056 – Assay of opiates

Review of the medical bill finds that current AMA CPT Codes were billed. The requestor met 28 TAC §134.203. The carrier’s denial is not supported. The services will be reviewed per applicable rules and fee guidelines.

3. The services in dispute are eligible for payment. 28 TAC §134.203(e) states:
“The MAR for pathology and laboratory services not addressed in subsection (c)(1) of this section or in other Division rules shall be determined as follows:
 - (1) 125 percent of the fee listed for the code in the Medicare Clinical Fee Schedule for the technical component of the service; and
 - (2) 45 percent of the Division established MAR for the code derived in paragraph (1) of this subsection for the professional component of the service.”

CMS payment policy files identify those clinical laboratory codes which contain a professional component, and those which are considered technical only. The codes in dispute are not identified by CMS as having a possible professional component, for that reason, the MAR is determined solely pursuant to 28 TAC §134.203(e)(1). The maximum allowable reimbursement(MAR) for the services in dispute is 125% of the fee

listed for the codes in the 2015 Clinical Diagnostic Laboratory Fee Schedule found on the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services website at <http://www.cms.gov>.

The maximum allowable reimbursement is calculated as follows:

Date of Service	Submitted Code	Allowable	MAR
October 22, 2015	G6041	\$40.85	\$40.85 X 125% = \$51.06
October 22, 2015	G6045	\$28.10	\$28.10 X 125% = \$35.12
October 22, 2015	G6046	\$34.98	\$34.98 X 125% = \$43.72
October 22, 2015	G6056	\$26.48	\$26.48 X 125% = \$33.10
		Total	\$163.00

4. The maximum allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$163.00. The requestor is seeking \$156.89. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$156.89.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services in dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$156.89 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130 due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

May , 2016
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.