



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

American Specialty Pharmacy

Respondent Name

Liberty Mutual Insurance Corporation

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-15-4031-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 01

MFDR Date Received

August 13, 2015

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Temazepam 30 mg is medically necessary: ... for insomnia due to pain... to allow activities of daily living..."

Amount in Dispute: \$80.30

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The prescription for Temazepam dispensed on July 8, 2015 was denied with the statement 'Per TX Rule 134.600 Preauth is Required...'

... this is an 'N' drug requiring preauthorization. We have no record of a request for preauthorization for this drug."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
July 8, 2015	Prescription Medication (Temazepam)	\$80.30	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 sets out the procedures for payment or denial of a medical bill.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.540 sets out the guidelines for use of the closed formulary for claims subject to certified networks.

4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
- 197 – Not defined as required in 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240. CARC definition: “Precertification/authorization/notification absent.”
 - XF06 – Per TX Rule 134.600 pre-auth is required. If services have been preauthorized resubmit the bill with the authorization info for reconsideration.

Issues

1. Is the insurance carrier’s reason for denial of payment supported?

Findings

1. The insurance carrier denied disputed services with claim adjustment reason code 197, which is a CARC code defined as “Precertification/authorization/notification absent.” 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.540 (b) states, in relevant part, “Preauthorization for claims subject to the Division's closed formulary. Preauthorization is only required for: ... (1) drugs identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the *ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary*, and any updates.” Review of the submitted documentation finds that the dispute involves the prescription medication Temazepam. The *ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary* in effect on the date of service finds that Temazepam is an “N” status drug. Therefore, the compound requires preauthorization.

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.540 (e)(1) states, “For situations in which the prescribing doctor determines and documents that a drug excluded from the closed formulary is necessary to treat an injured employee's compensable injury and has prescribed the drug, the prescribing doctor, other requestor, or injured employee must request approval of the drug in a specific instance by requesting preauthorization in accordance with the certified network's preauthorization process established pursuant to Chapter 10, Subchapter F of this title (relating to Utilization Review and Retrospective Review) and applicable provisions of Chapter 19 of this title (relating to Agents' Licensing).” Review of the submitted documentation does not indicate that a preauthorization was requested or obtained. The insurance carrier’s denial reason is supported. Additional reimbursement cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Laurie Garnes
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

September 4, 2015
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.