# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Gilbert C. Blackwell, D.C. TPCIGA for Fremont Indemnity Company

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-14-3736-01 Box Number 50

**MFDR Date Received** 

August 25, 2014

## **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "Talked w/ adjuster & said he had a total knee replacement in 2014. This is a significant change in condition & requires a new impairment."

Amount in Dispute: \$650.00

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "Dr. Peter B. Platin gave the initial Certification of MMI date of 09.20.02 with a 14% Impairment Rating..."

Response Submitted by: Texas Property & Casualty Insurance Guaranty Association

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
June 17, 2014	Examination to Determine Maximum Medical Improvement and Impairment Rating	\$650.00	\$0.00

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. Texas Labor Code §408.0041 sets out the conditions relating to a designated doctor examination.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - 96 Non-covered charge(s).
  - Notes: "MMI AND IR BECAME FINAL IN 2002; THEREFORE, NO FURTHER MMI OR IR WOULD BE REQUIRED."
  - 193 Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.

### <u>Issues</u>

Are the insurance carrier's reasons for denial or reduction of payment supported?

### **Findings**

Gilbert C. Blackwell, D.C., a doctor selected by the treating doctor acting in place of the treating doctor, is seeking reimbursement for an examination to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating. The insurance carrier denied the examination as a non-covered service.

Documentation submitted to the DWC finds that the first impairment rating for this claimant was provided by Peter B. Polatin, M.D., a doctor selected by the treating doctor acting in place of the treating doctor.

An insurance carrier is required to pay for an examination to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating performed at the request of the injured employee after a designated doctor examination if:

- the designated doctor examination is the employee's first evaluation of maximum medical improvement and impairment rating, and
- the employee is not satisfied with the designated doctor's opinion.<sup>1</sup>

The DWC finds that the first evaluation of maximum medical improvement and impairment rating was not performed by a designated doctor. No reimbursement is recommended for the service in question.

#### Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the DWC finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

#### **ORDER**

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031, the DWC hereby determines the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute.

# **Authorized Signature**

	Laurie Garnes	January 31, 2019
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 Texas Register 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Texas Labor Code §408.0041(f-2) and (h)(1)