



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645
512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

G. CHRISTOPHER HAMMET, MD

Respondent Name

UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE CO

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-14-3667-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 53

MFDR Date Received

AUGUST 14, 2014

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "We understand per the EOB that this service has been paid. It was not paid to South Texas Radiology Group. Please see the attached Medical Report that shows our provider Christopher Hammet performed this service & is due payment."

Amount in Dispute: \$13.51

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The payment for the service in dispute was included in the payment for the ER visit. Attached are medical bills, medical records, and EOBs which demonstrate payment of the service."

Response Submitted by: Hoffman Kelley, L.L.P.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
February 20, 2014	CPT Code 73610-26 Ankle X-ray	\$13.51	\$13.51

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, 33 *Texas Register* 364, sets the reimbursement guidelines for the disputed service.
- The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - W1-Workers compensation state fee schedule adjustment.
 - NZ-A charge for the interpretation of a diagnostic procedure [modifier 26 and or 76140 for radiology] has already been paid or is included in the examination services rendered on this date.

Issues

Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

On the disputed date of service, Dr. Adam Edwards billed the respondent for an emergency room visit, CPT code 99284. The requestor, Dr. Hammet billed for the professional component of ankle surgery, CPT code 73610-26.

According to the submitted explanation of benefits, the respondent denied reimbursement for CPT code 73610-26 based upon reason code "NZ." The respondent contends that "The payment for the service in dispute was included in the payment for the ER visit."

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(a)(5) states "Medicare payment policies" when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, and values or weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare."

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(b)(1) states "For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following: (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules."

Per CCI edits, CPT code 73610 is not a component of code 99284. Furthermore, the services were performed by different physicians and are not included in the emergency room visit; therefore, the respondent's denial is not supported.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(c)(1)(2), "To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications.

(1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83. For Surgery when performed in a facility setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$66.32.

(2) The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year's conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year's conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year. The following hypothetical example illustrates this annual adjustment activity if the Division had been using this MEI annual percentage adjustment: The 2006 Division conversion factor of \$50.83 (with the exception of surgery) would have been multiplied by the 2007 MEI annual percentage increase of 2.1 percent, resulting in the \$51.90 (with the exception of surgery) Division conversion factor in 2007."

To determine the MAR the following formula is used: $(DWC \text{ Conversion Factor} / \text{Medicare Conversion Factor}) \times \text{Participating Amount} = \text{Maximum Allowable Reimbursement (MAR)}$.

The 2014 DWC conversion factor for this service is 55.75

The Medicare Conversion Factor is 35.8228

Review of Box 32 on the CMS-1500 the services were rendered in zip code 78224, which is located in San Antonio, Texas. Therefore, the Medicare participating amount will be based on the reimbursement for "Rest of Texas".

The Medicare participating amount for code 73610-26 is \$8.68.

Using the above formula, the Division finds the MAR is \$13.51. The respondent paid 0.00. The difference between the MAR and paid is \$13.51. This amount is recommended for additional reimbursement.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$13.51.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$13.51 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

01/09/2015
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.