



**Texas Department of Insurance**

**Division of Workers' Compensation**

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48  
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645  
512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • [www.tdi.texas.gov](http://www.tdi.texas.gov)

**MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION**

**GENERAL INFORMATION**

**Requestor Name**

DR LAM MAN TAI

**Respondent Name**

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-14-0099-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative Box**

Box Number 54

**MFDR Date Received**

September 10, 2013

**REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**No Position Statement Submitted**

**Amount in Dispute:** \$140.00

**RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "The requestor provided an E/M service then billed Texas Mutual with CPT code 99214. Texas Mutual reviewed the documentation of the service and concluded it did not meet the criteria for such coding."

**Response Submitted by:** Texas Mutual Insurance Company.

**SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
May 9, 2013	99214	\$140.00	\$0

**FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

**Background**

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving a medical fee dispute.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for E/M services
- The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits

- CAC-150 Payer deems the information submitted does not support this level of service.
- 890- Denied per AMA CPT code description for level of service and/or nature of presenting problems.
- CAC-16 Claim/service lacks information which is needed for adjudication. At least one remark code must be provided (may be comprised of either the remittance advice remark code or NCPDP reject reason code)
- CAC-193 – Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
- 225 – The submitted documentation does not support the service being billed. We will re-evaluate this upon receipt of clarifying information.
- CAC-18 Duplicate claim/service.

- 878- appeal (request for reconsideration) previously processed. Refer to Rule 133.250 (H).
- 724- No additional payment after a reconsideration of services. For information call 1-800-937-6824.
- 224- duplicate charge.

### **Issues**

1. Did the requestor meet the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203?
2. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

### **Findings**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(b)(1) states, in pertinent part, “for coding, billing reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas Workers’ Compensation system participants shall apply the following: (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; ... and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided...” Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor performed an office visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient. The American Medical Association (AMA) CPT code description for 99214 is:

Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient, which requires at least 2 of these 3 key components: A detailed history; A detailed examination; Medical decision making of moderate complexity. Counseling and/or coordination of care with other physicians, other qualified health care professionals, or agencies are provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the patient's and/or family's needs. Usually, the presenting problem(s) are of moderate to high severity. Typically, 25 minutes are spent face-to-face with the patient and/or family.

The 1997 Documentation Guidelines for Evaluation & Management Services is the applicable Medicare policy. It describes the documentation requirements for the service in dispute. Review of the documentation finds the following:

- Documentation of the Comprehensive History
  - History of Present Illness (HPI) consists of at least four elements of the HPI or the status of at least three chronic or inactive conditions. Documentation found listed two elements, this component was not met.
  - Review of Systems (ROS) inquires about the system (s) directly related to the problem(s) plus additional body systems. At least ten organ systems must be reviewed or have all others negative. Documentation found listed six elements, this component was not met.
  - Past Family, and/or Social History (PFSH) requires a review of two or all history areas, at least one specific item from each history areas to be documented. The documentation found listed one area. This component was not met.
- Documentation of a Comprehensive Examination:
  - Requires at least nine organ systems to be documented, with at least two elements listed per system. The documentation found listed six organ systems. This component was not met.

The division concludes that the documentation does not sufficiently support the level of service billed.

2. For the reasons stated above, the services in dispute are not eligible for payment pursuant to 28 TAC §134.203 (c).

### **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

**ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

**Authorized Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
April 11, 2014  
Date

**YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL**

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**