



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645
512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

AIT LABORATORIES

Respondent Name

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-11-2030-01

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

FEBRUARY 14, 2011

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Reconsideration. Please see attached 133.3."

Amount in Dispute: \$353.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Texas Mutual maintains its position regarding reimbursement to the requestor as communicated through its EOBs."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
MAY 6, 2010	Urine Drug Screen	\$353.00	\$134.86

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.210 sets out documentation requirements
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for clinical laboratory services
- The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits

- CAC-16 Claim/service lacks information which is needed for adjudication. At least one remark code must be provided (may be comprised of either the remittance advice remark code or NCPDP reject reason code)
- 225 – The submitted documentation does not support the service being billed. We will re-evaluate this upon receipt of clarifying information.
- CAC-197- Precertification/authorization/notification absent.
- 930-Pre-authorization required, reimbursement denied.
- CAC-18- Duplicate claim/service.
- CAC-4- The procedure code is inconsistent with the modifier used or a required modifier is missing.
- 224- Duplicate charge.

- 732- Accurate coding is essential for reimbursement. CPT and/or modifier billed incorrectly. Services are not reimbursable as billed.

Issues

1. Did the requestor meet division documentation requirements?
2. Did the carrier appropriately request additional documentation?
3. Were Medicare policies met?
4. Is reimbursement due?

Findings

1. The workers' compensation carrier (carrier) denied services, in part, using claim adjustment code 225 which states that "225 – The submitted documentation does not support the service being billed. We will re-evaluate this upon receipt of clarifying information." Documentation requirements for the services provided are established by 28 TAC §133.210 which describes the documentation required to be submitted with a medical bill. 28 TAC §133.210 does not require documentation to be submitted with the medical bill for the services in dispute. The carrier's denial reason is not supported.
2. In its response to this medical fee dispute, the carrier cites the lack of clarifying information and/or documentation as a reason for denial of payment. The process for a carrier's request of documentation not otherwise required by 28 TAC §133.210 is described in section (d) of that section as follows:

"Any request by the insurance carrier for additional documentation to process a medical bill shall:

- (1) be in writing;
- (2) be specific to the bill or the bill's related episode of care;
- (3) describe with specificity the clinical and other information to be included in the response;
- (4) be relevant and necessary for the resolution of the bill;
- (5) be for information that is contained in or in the process of being incorporated into the injured employee's medical or billing record maintained by the health care provider;
- (6) indicate the specific reason for which the insurance carrier is requesting the information; and
- (7) Include a copy of the medical bill for which the insurance carrier is requesting the additional documentation."

No documentation was found to support that the carrier made an appropriate request for additional documentation with the specificity required by §133.210(d). The division concludes that carrier failed to meet the requirements of 28 TAC 133.210(d).

3. 28 TAC §134.203(b)(1) states that "For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following: (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiative (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules." §134.203(a)(5) states that "'Medicare payment policies' when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, values and weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare." The services in dispute are clinical laboratory services; therefore, Medicare policies for the clinical laboratory services must be met. The services in dispute are addressed in the CMS Clinical Laboratory Fee Schedule. The requestor billed the following AMA CPT codes/descriptions as follows:
 - CPT code 80102, one unit of Drug confirmation, each procedure
 - CPT code 82055, one unit of Alcohol (ethanol); any specimen except breath
 - CPT Code 82541, one unit of Column chromatography/mass spectrometry (eg, GC/MS, or HPLC/MS), analyte not elsewhere specified; qualitative
 - CPT code 83925, one unit of Opiate(s), drug and metabolites, each procedure
 - CPT code G0431, two units of Drug screen, qualitative; multiple drug classes by high complexity test method (e.g., immunoassay, enzyme assay), per patient encounter

Review of the medical bill finds that current AMA CPT Codes were billed, and that there are no CCI conflicts, Medicare billing exclusions, or medically unlikely edits (MUE) that apply to the clinical laboratory services in dispute. The requestor met 28 TAC §134.203.

4. The services in dispute are eligible for payment. 28 TAC §134.203(e) states:

“The MAR for pathology and laboratory services not addressed in subsection (c) (1) of this section or in other Division rules shall be determined as follows:

- (1) 125 percent of the fee listed for the code in the Medicare Clinical Fee Schedule for the technical component of the service; and
- (2) 45 percent of the Division established MAR for the code derived in paragraph (1) of this subsection for the professional component of the service.”

CMS payment policy files identify those clinical laboratory codes which contain a professional component, and those which are considered technical only. The codes in dispute are not identified by CMS as having a possible professional component, for that reason, the MAR is determined solely pursuant to 28 TAC §134.203(e)(1). The maximum allowable reimbursement(MAR) for the services in dispute is 125% of the fee listed for the codes in the 2010 Clinical Diagnostic Laboratory Fee Schedule found on the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services website at <http://www.cms.gov>. Review of the documentation finds that the provider sufficiently documented units billed. Therefore, the total MAR is \$134.86, as follows:

- 80102 1 Unit = $(\$18.97 \times 1.25\%) \times 1 = \$ 23.71$
- 82055 1 Unit = $(\$15.47 \times 1.25\%) \times 1 = \$ 19.34$
- 82541 1 Unit = $(\$25.86 \times 1.25\%) \times 1 = \$ 32.33$
- 83925 1 Unit = $(\$27.87 \times 1.25\%) \times 1 = \$ 34.84$
- G0431 2 Units billed/1unit payable= $(\$19.72 \times 1.25\%) \times 1 = \$ 24.65$
= \$ 134.86

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$134.86.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$134.86 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130 due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Manager

June 11, 2014
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.**
Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.