MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

DR. VIET TRAN 3724 EXECUTIVE CENTER DR AUSTIN, TX 78731

Respondent Name

INDEMNITY INSURANCE CO OF NORT

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-10-4492-01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Patient has a and injury, Dr. Tran saw the patient for his neck only. Adjuster is disputing hands and we got approval from case manager due to the adjuster was out of the offices that day."

Amount in Dispute: \$184.90

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: Carrier acknowledged DWC-60 on 06/29/2010.

Response Submitted by: Indemnity Insurance

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
August 5, 2009	E/M Office Visit Code 99215	\$184.90	\$ 0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. Former 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 33 *Texas Register* 3954, applicable to requests filed on or after May 25, 2008, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes filed prior to June 1, 2012.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for E/M services.

Explanation of benefits dated November 6, 2009 listed both claim adjustment codes and remittance advice codes.

• 18-Duplicate claim/service.

Issues

- 1. Did the requestor meet the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

<u>Findings</u>

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(b)(1) states, in pertinent part, "for coding, billing reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas Workers' Compensation system participants shall apply the following: (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; ... and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided..." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor performed an office visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient. The American Medical Association (AMA) CPT code description for 99215 is:

"Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient, which requires at least 2 of these 3 key components: A comprehensive history; A comprehensive examination; Medical decision making of high complexity. Counseling and/or coordination of care with other physicians, other qualified health care professionals, or agencies are provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the patient's and/or family's needs. Usually, the presenting problem(s) are of moderate to high severity. Typically, 40 minutes are spent face-to-face with the patient and/or family."

The 1997 Documentation Guidelines for Evaluation & Management Services describes the documentation requirements for a comprehensive history and a comprehensive exam.

- Documentation of the Comprehensive History
 - History of Present Illness (HPI) consists of at least four elements of the HPI or the status of at least three chronic or inactive conditions. Documentation found listed three elements, this component was not met.
 - Review of Systems (ROS) inquires about the system (s) directly related to the problem(s) plus additional body systems. At least ten organ systems must be reviewed. Documentation found listed one system, this component was not met.
 - Past Family, and/or Social History (PFSH) requires a review of two or all history areas, at least one specific item from each history areas to be documented. The documentation found listed one area. This component was not met.
- Documentation of a Comprehensive Examination:
 - Requires at least nine organ systems to be documented, with at least two elements listed per system. The documentation found listed two areas. This component was not met.

The division concludes that the documentation does not sufficiently support that a comprehensive history and a comprehensive examination were done.

2. Documentation requirements were not met, no additional reimbursement can be recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

		March 7, 0040	
		March 7, 2013	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.