14785 Preston Road, Suite 550 | Dallas, Texas 75254 Phone: 214 732 9359 | Fax: 972 980 7836

**DATE OF REVIEW:** 6/14/2018

### DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

"10 Sessions/80 units of the Chronic Pain Program 3 X week"

# A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

D.O. Board Certified in Anesthesiology and Pain Management.

## **REVIEW OUTCOME**

Partially Overturned

Upon independent rev	view the reviewer finds	that the previous adverse determination/adverse
determinations should	d be:	
Upheld	(Agree)	
Overturned	(Disagree)	

(Agree in part/Disagree in part)

### PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

Patient is a XXXX who was injured on XXXX. The mechanism of the injury was a XXXX while XXXX was trying to XXXX. The patient is complaining of back pain which was treated with medications, physical therapy and injections with little relief. The patient subsequently underwent an approved 20/20 chronic pain management sessions. According to the chart, the patient scored 8 for the Back-Depression Inventory which decreased to 7 after completing the 20 sessions. The patient scored a 30 for the Beck Anxiety Inventory, then the score went down to 8 after completing the chronic pain management sessions. The pain score in XXXX low back was reported on XXXX to be 4-6/10 and best 0-3/10. It was also reported that the patient is not taking any more prescription pain medicine; instead, XXXX is managing XXXX pain with over-the-counter medications. Capacity evaluation demonstrated the patient's ability to perform within the heavy physical demand category. The patient also has a history of traumatic brain injury.

# ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

Per ODG references, the requested "10 Sessions/80 units of the Chronic Pain Program 3 X week" is not medically necessary. The patient has already participated in the maximum amount of recommended sessions. The patient has experienced improvement to the level of XXXX required PDL. No additional functional improvement can be gained by repeating the chronic pain program.

A	DESCRIPTION	AND THE	SOURCE OF	F THE SCREEN	NING CRITERIA	OR OTHER
$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	LINICAL BASIS	S USED TO	MAKE THE	DECISION:		_

ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE KNOWLEDGE BASE
☐ AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES



14785 Preston Road, Suite 550 | Dallas, Texas 75254 Phone: 214 732 9359 | Fax: 972 980 7836

DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
INTERQUAL CRITERIA
MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME
FOCUSED GUIDELINES