

IRO REVIEWER REPORT TEMPLATE -WC

ReviewTex. Inc.
1818 Mountjoy Drive
San Antonio, TX 78232
(phone) 210-598-9381 (fax) 210-598-9382
reviewtex@hotmail.com

Notice of Independent Review Decision

Date notice sent to all parties: April 23, 2014

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

Inj paravert f jnt 1/s 1 lev

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:

Board Certified Anesthesiologist; Board Certified Pain Medicine

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

X Upheld (Agree)

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether medical necessity exists for each of the health care services in dispute.

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The patient is a male whose date of injury is xx/xx/xx. The mechanism of injury is described as lifting. MRI of the lumbar spine dated 02/14/12 revealed postsurgical changes of previous laminectomy and interbody fusion at L4-5 and L5-S1. Note dated 01/04/13 indicates that treatment to date includes medication management, physical therapy, epidural steroid injections and surgical intervention. The patient underwent spinal cord stimulator trial on 03/25/13 and reported 75% improvement, per follow up note dated 04/02/13. The patient subsequently underwent permanent placement of a dorsal column stimulator on 05/20/13. Note dated 07/30/13

indicates that the patient is currently attending physical therapy. Follow up note dated 02/06/14 indicates that the patient describes low back pain. Medications include Motrin, Skelaxin, Fentanyl patch, Norco, Abilify, Cymbalta and Lisinopril. On physical examination there is pain with flexion and extension. Straight leg raising is negative bilaterally. The patient was recommended for lumbar facet block bilateral L3-4.

Initial request was non-certified noting that the patient appears to have met the guideline criteria for facet joint pain signs and symptoms, and there appears to have been other treatments exhausted. They are attempting to determine if the plan is to proceed to medial branch blocks and then to radiofrequency neurotomy. CT of the lumbar spine dated 03/04/14 revealed at L3-4 there are postsurgical changes of lumbar fusion and pedicle screws at L3 and L4. The denial was upheld on appeal dated 03/19/14 noting that it is unclear if the treatment plan includes medial branch diagnostic block and subsequent neurotomy if facet injection treatment is successful. There is no indication of a formal plan of additional evidence-based activity and exercise in addition to facet joint injection therapy.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

Based on the clinical information provided, the request for Inj paravert f jnt 1/s 1 lev is not recommended as medically necessary. CT of the lumbar spine dated 03/04/14 revealed at L3-4 there are postsurgical changes of lumbar fusion and pedicle screws at L3 and L4. The Official Disability Guidelines note that diagnostic facet blocks should not be performed in patients who have had a previous fusion procedure at the planned injection level. Additionally, the submitted records fail to document a plan of concurrent active treatment. It remains unclear if the treatment plan includes medial branch blocks and radiofrequency neurotomy. Given the current clinical data, the request is not indicated as medically necessary

IRO REVIEWER REPORT TEMPLATE -WC

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

X MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS

X ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES

ODG Low Back Chapter

Facet joint diagnostic blocks (injections)

Recommend no more than one set of medial branch diagnostic blocks prior to facet neurotomy, if neurotomy is chosen as an option for treatment (a procedure that is still considered “under study”). Diagnostic blocks may be performed with the anticipation that if successful, treatment may proceed to facet neurotomy at the diagnosed levels. Current research indicates that a minimum of one diagnostic block be performed prior to a neurotomy, and that this be a medial branch block (MBB). Although it is suggested that MBBs and intra-articular blocks appear to provide comparable diagnostic information, the results of placebo-controlled trials of neurotomy found better predictive effect with diagnostic MBBs. In addition, the same nerves are tested with the MBB as are treated with the neurotomy. The use of a confirmatory block has been strongly suggested due to the high rate of false positives with single blocks (range of 25% to 40%) but this does not appear to be cost effective or to prevent the incidence of false positive response to the neurotomy procedure itself. (Cohen, 2007) (Bogduk, 2000) (Cohen2, 2007) (Manchukonda, 2007) (Dreyfuss, 2000) (Manchikanti2, 2003) (Datta, 2009)

Etiology of false positive blocks: Placebo response (18-32%), use of sedation, liberal use of local anesthetic, and spread of injectate to other pain generators. The concomitant use of sedative during the block can also interfere with an accurate diagnosis. (Cohen, 2007)

MBB procedure: The technique for medial branch blocks in the lumbar region requires a block of 2 medial branch nerves (MBN). The recommendation is the following: (1) L1-L2 (T12 and L1 MBN); (2) L2-L3 (L1 and L2 MBN); (3) L3-L4 (L2 and L3 MBN); (4) L4-L5 (L3 and L4 MBN); (5) L5-S1: the L4 and L5 MBN are blocked, and it is recommended that S1 nerve be blocked at the superior articular process. Blocking two joints such as L3-4 and L4-5 will require blocks of three nerves (L2, L3 and L4). Blocking L4-5 and L5-S1 will require blocks of L3, L4, L5 with the option of blocking S1. (Clemans, 2005) The volume of injectate for diagnostic medial branch blocks must be kept to a minimum (a trace amount of contrast with no more than 0.5 cc of injectate), as increased volume may anesthetize

other potential areas of pain generation and confound the ability of the block to accurately diagnose facet pathology. Specifically, the concern is that the lateral and intermediate branches will be blocked; nerves that innervate the paraspinal muscles and fascia, ligaments, sacroiliac joints and skin. (Cohen, 2007) Intraarticular blocks also have limitations due to the fact that they can be technically challenging, and if the joint capsule ruptures, injectate may diffuse to the epidural space, intervertebral foramen, ligamentum flavum and paraspinal musculature. (Cohen, 2007) (Washington, 2005) (Manchikanti , 2003) (Dreyfuss, 2003) (BlueCross BlueShield, 2004) (Pneumaticos, 2006) (Boswell, 2007) (Boswell2, 2007) A recent meta-analysis concluded that there is insufficient evidence to evaluate validity or utility of diagnostic selective nerve root block, intra-articular facet joint block, medial branch block, or sacroiliac joint block as diagnostic procedures for low back pain with or without radiculopathy. (Chou2, 2009) This study suggests that proceeding to radiofrequency denervation without a diagnostic block is the most cost-effective treatment paradigm, but does not result in the best pain outcomes. (Cohen, 2010) See also Facet joint pain, signs & symptoms; Facet joint radiofrequency neurotomy; Facet joint medial branch blocks (therapeutic injections); & Facet joint intra-articular injections (therapeutic blocks). Also see Neck Chapter and Pain Chapter.

Criteria for the use of diagnostic blocks for facet “mediated” pain:

Clinical presentation should be consistent with facet joint pain, signs & symptoms.

1. One set of diagnostic medial branch blocks is required with a response of $\geq 70\%$. The pain response should last at least 2 hours for Lidocaine.
2. Limited to patients with low-back pain that is non-radicular and at no more than two levels bilaterally.
3. There is documentation of failure of conservative treatment (including home exercise, PT and NSAIDs) prior to the procedure for at least 4-6 weeks.
4. No more than 2 facet joint levels are injected in one session (see above for medial branch block levels).
5. Recommended volume of no more than 0.5 cc of injectate is given to each joint.
6. No pain medication from home should be taken for at least 4 hours prior to the diagnostic block and for 4 to 6 hours afterward.
7. Opioids should not be given as a “sedative” during the procedure.
8. The use of IV sedation (including other agents such as midazolam) may be grounds to negate the results of a diagnostic block, and should only be given in cases of extreme anxiety.

IRO REVIEWER REPORT TEMPLATE -WC

9. The patient should document pain relief with an instrument such as a VAS scale, emphasizing the importance of recording the maximum pain relief and maximum duration of pain. The patient should also keep medication use and activity logs to support subjective reports of better pain control.

10. Diagnostic facet blocks should not be performed in patients in whom a surgical procedure is anticipated. (Resnick, 2005)

11. Diagnostic facet blocks should not be performed in patients who have had a previous fusion procedure at the planned injection level. [Exclusion Criteria that would require UR physician review: Previous fusion at the targeted level. (Franklin, 2008)]