

Notice of Independent Review Decision

February 19, 2014

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

Sacro-Iliac Joint/Posterior Sacro-Iliac Ligament Injection Right 27096

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:

The physician performing this review is Board Certified, American Board of Orthopedic Surgery. The physician has been in practice since 1998 and is licensed in Texas, Oklahoma, Minnesota and South Dakota.

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

- Upheld (Agree)
- Overturned (Disagree)
- Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether medical necessity exists for each of the health care services in dispute.

Upon independent review, I find the previous adverse determination should be upheld.

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

Records Received: 22 page fax 01/30/14 Department of Insurance IRO request, 39 pages of documents received via fax on 01/31/14 URA response to disputed services including administrative and medical. Dates of documents range from xx/xx/xx (DOI) to 01/30/14.

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The claimant is a female with a work-related injury suffered xx/xx/xx. The patient has had physical therapy as well as a sacroiliac joint injection and sacral ligament injection on 10/23/13. She had excellent near-complete relief during the anesthetic phase of the

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205

Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-4443

injection. However, she did not achieve six weeks' relief at greater than 70% pain relief during the subsequent period. MRI examination showed no evidence for definitive radicular impingement, though the physical examination findings would potentially suggest radicular pattern with pain radiating into the posterior thigh and posterior calf along with weakness on physical examination to the ankle plantar flexors and the EHL on the symptomatic right side.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The patient has undergone a previous right sacroiliac joint injection with excellent temporary relief. ODG guidelines are very explicit in their recommendations for sacroiliac joint injections. In this case, the patient did not experience greater than 70% pain relief for a period of six weeks following the initial injection. As such, based on the guidelines utilized in this review, documentation does not exist to allow for the repeat of the sacroiliac joint injection.

ODG -TWC

ODG Treatment

Integrated Treatment/Disability Duration Guidelines

Hip & Pelvis (Acute & Chronic)

Sacroiliac joint blocks	<p>Recommended as an option if failed at least 4-6 weeks of aggressive conservative therapy as indicated below. Sacroiliac dysfunction is poorly defined and the diagnosis is often difficult to make due to the presence of other low back pathology (including spinal stenosis and facet arthropathy). The diagnosis is also difficult to make as pain symptoms may depend on the region of the SI joint that is involved (anterior, posterior, and/or extra-articular ligaments). Pain may radiate into the buttock, groin and entire ipsilateral lower limb, although if pain is present above L5, it is not thought to be from the SI joint.</p> <p><i>Innervation:</i> The anterior portion is thought to be innervated by the posterior rami of the L1-S2 roots and the posterior portion by the posterior rami of L4-S3. although the actual innervation remains unclear. Anterior innervation may also be supplied by the obturator nerve, superior gluteal nerve and/or lumbosacral trunk. (Vallejo, 2006) Other research supports innervation by the S1 and S2 sacral dorsal rami.</p> <p><i>Etiology:</i> includes degenerative joint disease, joint laxity, and trauma (such as a fall to the buttock). The main cause is SI joint disruption from significant pelvic trauma.</p> <p><i>Diagnosis:</i> Specific tests for motion palpation and pain provocation have been described for SI joint dysfunction: Cranial Shear Test; Extension Test; Flamingo Test; Fortin Finger Test; Gaenslen's Test; Gillet's Test (One Legged-Stork Test); Patrick's Test (FABER); Pelvic Compression Test; Pelvic Distraction Test; Pelvic Rock Test; Resisted Abduction Test (REAB); Sacroiliac Shear Test; Standing Flexion Test; Seated Flexion Test; Thigh Thrust Test (POSH). Imaging studies are not helpful. It has been questioned as to whether SI joint blocks are the "diagnostic gold standard." The block is felt to show low sensitivity, and discordance has been noted between two consecutive blocks (questioning validity). (Schwarzer, 1995) There is also concern that pain relief from diagnostic blocks may be confounded by infiltration of extra-articular ligaments, adjacent muscles, or sheaths of the nerve roots themselves. Sacral lateral branch injections have demonstrated a lack of diagnostic power and area not endorsed for this purpose. (Yin, 2003)</p> <p><i>Treatment:</i> There is limited research suggesting therapeutic blocks offer long-term effect. There should be evidence of a trial of aggressive conservative treatment (at least six weeks of a comprehensive exercise program, local icing, mobilization/manipulation and anti-inflammatories) as well as evidence of a clinical picture that is suggestive of sacroiliac injury and/or disease prior to a first SI joint block. If helpful, the blocks may be repeated; however, the frequency of these injections should be limited with attention placed on the comprehensive exercise program. (Forst, 2006) (Berthelot, 2006) (van der Wurff, 2006)</p>
-------------------------	--

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205

Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-4443

([Laslett, 2005](#)) ([Zelle, 2005](#)) ([McKenzie-Brown 2005](#)) ([Pekkafahli, 2003](#)) ([Manchikanti, 2003](#)) ([Slipman, 2001](#)) ([Nelemans-Cochrane, 2000](#)) See also [Intra-articular steroid hip injection](#); & [Sacroiliac joint radiofrequency neurotomy](#).

Recent research: A systematic review commissioned by the American Pain Society (APS) and conducted at the Oregon Evidence-Based Practice Center states that there is insufficient evidence to evaluate validity or utility of diagnostic sacroiliac joint block, and that there is insufficient evidence to adequately evaluate benefits of sacroiliac joint steroid injection.

([Chou, 2009](#)) The latest AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Report, covering Pain Management Interventions for Hip Fracture, concluded that nerve blockade was effective for relief of acute pain; however, most studies were limited to either assessing acute pain or use of additional analgesia and did not report on how nerve blockades may affect rehabilitation such as ambulation or mobility if the blockade has both sensory and motor effects. ([Abou-Setta, 2011](#))

Criteria for the use of sacroiliac blocks:

1. The history and physical should suggest the diagnosis (with documentation of at least 3 positive exam findings as listed above).
2. Diagnostic evaluation must first address any other possible pain generators.
3. The patient has had and failed at least 4-6 weeks of aggressive conservative therapy including PT, home exercise and medication management.
4. Blocks are performed under fluoroscopy. ([Hansen, 2003](#))
5. A positive diagnostic response is recorded as 80% for the duration of the local anesthetic. If the first block is not positive, a second diagnostic block is not performed.
6. If steroids are injected during the initial injection, the duration of pain relief should be at least 6 weeks with at least > 70% pain relief recorded for this period.
7. In the treatment or therapeutic phase (after the stabilization is completed), the suggested frequency for repeat blocks is 2 months or longer between each injection, provided that at least >70% pain relief is obtained for 6 weeks.
8. The block is not to be performed on the same day as a lumbar epidural steroid injection (ESI), transforaminal ESI, facet joint injection or medial branch block.
9. In the treatment or therapeutic phase, the interventional procedures should be repeated only as necessary judging by the medical necessity criteria, and these should be limited to a maximum of 4 times for local anesthetic and steroid blocks over a period of 1 year.

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205

Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-4443

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)