

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205
Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-4443

Notice of Independent Review Decision

November 7, 2014

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

Bilateral L4/L5 Medial Branch Rhizotomy

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:

The physician performing this review is Board Certified, American Board of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation. The physician is certified in pain management. The physician has a private practice of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Electro Diagnostic Medicine & Pain Management in Texas. The physician is a member of the Texas Medical Association and the Houston Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Society. The physician is licensed in Texas and Michigan and has been in practice for over 25 years.

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

- Upheld (Agree)
- Overturned (Disagree)
- Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether medical necessity exists for each of the health care services in dispute.

Upon independent review the physician finds that the previous adverse determination should be ~ Overturned

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205
Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-4443

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The records provided go to 2011. She apparently sustained a back injury in xxxx and underwent a disc replacement arthroplasty at L4/5 in 2012. She did well for several years. She began having increased local nonradicular back pain. A clinical examination was used to diagnose facet pain. A series of pain drawings over the past year show the pain drawing largely a consistent pain pattern. performed bilateral facet injections at this level on July 14, 2014. The pain reduction was reported at 60% or from 8/10 to 3/10 and lasted 6 weeks. would like to perform a facet rhizotomy at this level. This was done with lidocaine and no other agent. First comments, the drop from 8 to 3 would be 5/10 or a 50% improvement. This however is misleading as it assumes that the difference between 3 to 4 would be the same as from 7 to 8. At the same time the 60% is also subjective.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

First the diagnosis of facet pain needs to be established. This meets the ODG criteria.

Suggested indicators of pain related to facet joint pathology (acknowledging the contradictory findings in current research):

- (1) Tenderness to palpation in the paravertebral areas (over the facet region);
- (2) A normal sensory examination;
- (3) Absence of radicular findings, although pain may radiate below the knee;
- (4) Normal straight leg raising exam.

Indictors 2-4 may be present if there is evidence of hypertrophy encroaching on the neural foramen.

She had the facet blocks as described.

Facet blocks	Recommend no more than one therapeutic intra-articular lumbar block when facet joint pain is suspected, but not cervical blocks. Recommend no more than one set of medial branch diagnostic blocks prior to facet neurotomy, but not recommend medial branch blocks except as a diagnostic tool. Not recommend a multiple series of facet joint injections.
--------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The block criteria suggests a 70% relief for at least 2 hours with licoaine. She had 6 weeks of about 60%. It is possible and more likely probable that this happened based upon the late wording at 6 weeks post injury. Further, the inaccuracies in the quantification are mentioned.

Criteria for the use of diagnostic blocks for facet “mediated” pain:

Clinical presentation should be consistent with [facet joint pain, signs & symptoms](#).

1. One set of diagnostic medial branch blocks is required with a response of $\geq 70\%$. The pain response should last at least 2 hours for Lidocaine.
2. Limited to patients with low-back pain that is non-radicular and at no more than two levels bilaterally.
3. There is documentation of failure of conservative treatment (including home exercise, PT and NSAIDs) prior to the procedure for at least 4-6 weeks.
4. No more than 2 facet joint levels are injected in one session (see above for medial branch block levels).
5. Recommended volume of no more than 0.5 cc of injectate is given to each joint.

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205

Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-4443

6. No pain medication from home should be taken for at least 4 hours prior to the diagnostic block and for 4 to 6 hours afterward.
7. Opioids should not be given as a “sedative” during the procedure.
8. The use of IV sedation (including other agents such as midazolam) may be grounds to negate the results of a diagnostic block, and should only be given in cases of extreme anxiety.
9. The patient should document pain relief with an instrument such as a VAS scale, emphasizing the importance of recording the maximum pain relief and maximum duration of pain. The patient should also keep medication use and activity logs to support subjective reports of better pain control.
10. Diagnostic facet blocks should not be performed in patients in whom a surgical procedure is anticipated. ([Resnick, 2005](#))
11. Diagnostic facet blocks should not be performed in patients who have had a previous fusion procedure at the planned injection level. [Exclusion Criteria that would require UR physician review: Previous fusion at the targeted level. ([Franklin, 2008](#))]

The following showed the need for an 80% response with steroid use vs the use of lidocaine

<p>Facet joint medial branch blocks (therapeutic injections)</p>	<p>Not recommended except as a diagnostic tool. Minimal evidence for treatment. <i>Pain Physician 2005</i>: In 2005 <i>Pain Physician</i> published an article that stated that there was moderate evidence for the use of lumbar medial branch blocks for the treatment of chronic lumbar spinal pain. (Boswell, 2005) This was supported by one study. (Manchikanti, 2001) Patients either received a local anesthetic or a local anesthetic with methyl prednisolone. All blocks included Sarapin. Sixty percent of the patients overall underwent seven or more procedures over the 2½ year study period (8.4 ± 0.31 over 13 to 32 months). There were more procedures recorded for the group that received corticosteroids than those that did not (301 vs. 210, respectively). [“Moderate evidence” is a definition of the quality of evidence to support a treatment outcome according to <i>Pain Physician</i>.] The average relief per procedure was 11.9 ± 3.7 weeks.</p> <p><i>Pain Physician 2007</i>: This review included an additional randomized controlled trial. (Manchikanti2, 2007) Controlled blocks with local anesthetic were used for the diagnosis (80% reduction of pain required). Four study groups were assigned with 15 patients in each group: (1) bupivacaine only; (2) bupivacaine plus Sarapin; (3) bupivacaine plus steroid; and (4) bupivacaine, steroid and Sarapin. There was no placebo group. Doses of 1-2ml were utilized. The average number of treatments was 3.7 and there was no significant difference in number of procedures noted between the steroid and non-steroid group. Long-term improvement was only thought to be possible with repeat interventions. All groups were significantly improved from baseline (a final Numeric Rating Scale score in a range from 3.5 to 3.9 for each group). Significant improvement occurred in the Oswestry score from baseline in all groups, but there was also no significant difference between the groups. There was no significant difference in opioid intake or employment status. There was no explanation posited of why there was no difference in results between the steroid and non-steroid groups. This study was considered positive for both short- and long-term relief, although, as noted, repeated injections were required for a long-term effect. Based on the inclusion of this study the overall conclusion was changed to suggest that the evidence for therapeutic medial branch blocks was moderate for both short- and long-term pain relief. (Boswell2, 2007) Psychiatric comorbidity is associated with substantially diminished pain relief after a medial branch block injection performed with steroid at one-month follow-up. These findings illustrate the importance of assessing comorbid psychopathology as part of a spine care evaluation. (Wasan, 2009) The use of the blocks for diagnostic purposes is discussed in Facet joint diagnostic blocks (injections).</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205
Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-4443

Lastly is the role of the RF neurectomy. The ODG notes the conflicting studies. It allows the procedure when there is a response to the facet injection. That occurred. It talks about 12 weeks of relief as regards a repeat neurectomy. There is a discussion for conservative treatment. She is not a surgical candidate.

<p>Facet joint radiofrequency neurotomy</p>	<p>Under study. Conflicting evidence is available as to the efficacy of this procedure and approval of treatment should be made on a case-by-case basis (only 3 RCTs with one suggesting pain benefit without functional gains, potential benefit if used to reduce narcotics). Studies have not demonstrated improved function. Also called Facet rhizotomy, Radiofrequency medial branch neurotomy, or Radiofrequency ablation (RFA), this is a type of injection procedure in which a heat lesion is created on specific nerves to interrupt pain signals to the brain, with a medial branch neurotomy affecting the nerves carrying pain from the facet joints.</p> <p><i>Current research:</i> Multiple placebo-controlled trials have been completed on this topic, but these studies all had potential clinical methodologic flaws including the use of non-controlled diagnostic blocks and potential discrepancies in technique of lesioning from that which is currently recommended. (Hooten, 2005) (van Kleef, 1999) (Boswell, 2005) (Leclaire, 2001) (Van Kleef, 1999) (Gallagher, 1994) (van Wijk, 2005) A recent small RCT found that the percutaneous radiofrequency neurotomy treatment group showed statistically significant improvement not only in back and leg pain but also back and hip movement as well as the sacro-iliac joint test. There was significant improvement in quality of life variables, global perception of improvement, and generalized pain. But RF neurotomy was not a total treatment, and it provided relief for only one component of the patients' pain. (Nath, 2008) <i>Observational Trials:</i> One observational trial found 60% of patients received 90% relief at 12 months and 87% had 60% pain relief. The authors used confirmatory blocks with 80% pain relief. (Dreyfuss, 2000) Clinical audits have reported pain relief in almost 70% of patients at 6 months. (Gofeld, 2007) Among the top 5 tests and therapies that are of questionable usefulness in the field of pain medicine, as prepared by the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) and the American Pain Society (APS) is to avoid irreversible interventions for noncancer pain, such as peripheral chemical neurolytic blocks or peripheral radiofrequency ablation, because such interventions may be costly and carry significant long-term risks of weakness, numbness, or increased pain. (ASA, 2014)</p> <p><i>Systematic reviews:</i> When compiled into systematic reviews, the evidence has been found to be conflicting for a short-term effect (Niemisto-Cochrane, 2003) (Niemisto-Cochrane, 2006) and moderate to strong for a long-term effect when compared to a placebo. (Geurts, 2001) (Boswell, 2005) The latter systematic review failed to distinguish results between lumbar and cervical patients. A critical nonsystematic review by Slipman et al. reported "sparse evidence" to support use in the lumbar region (Slipman, 2003) and the ICSI did not feel the current scientific evidence allowed for a conclusion on the subject. (ICSI, 2005) Boswell et al have recently published a systematic review that included several new observational studies that came to the conclusion that the evidence for neurotomy was moderate (Level III) for long-term relief of cervical and lumbar facet joint pain. This conclusion was based on the standard techniques used in the United States. (Boswell2, 2007) Interventional strategies, such as prolotherapy, botulinum toxin injections, radiofrequency denervation, and intradiskal electrothermal therapy, are not supported by convincing, consistent evidence of benefit from randomized</p>
---------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205

Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-4443

trials. ([Chou, 2008](#))

Technique: There are several techniques. ([Gofeld2, 2007](#)) The North American technique uses tangential insertion of a curve-tipped cannula parallel to the nerves. There is a long learning curve and results vary among operators. The European technique relies on radiologic appearance. Potential technical flaws include inadequate exposure of the tip to the target nerve and generation of a lesion that is too small to ablate the nerve. There is also an Australian technique.

Factors associated with failed treatment: These include increased pain with hyperextension and axial rotation (facet loading), longer duration of pain and disability, significant opioid dependence, and history of back surgery.

Factors associated with success: Pain above the knee (upper leg or groin); paraspinal tenderness. ([Cohen2, 2007](#))

Duration of pain relief: One retrospective analysis has determined that the mean duration of relief is approximately 10-12 months (range 4-19 months). Subsequent procedures may not be as successful (possibly secondary to technical failure or progression of spinal degeneration). ([Schofferman, 2004](#)) In a more recent study 68.4% of patients reported good to excellent pain relief at 6 months and showed consistent results with the above findings. ([Gofeld, 2007](#))

Complications: Potential side effects include painful cutaneous dysesthesias, increased pain due to neuritis or neurogenic inflammation, and cutaneous hyperesthesia. Neuritis is the most frequent complication (5% incidence). ([Boswell, 2005](#)) ([Boswell2, 2007](#)) ([Cohen, 2007](#)) The clinician must be aware of the risk of developing a deafferentation centralized pain syndrome as a complication of this and other neuroablative procedures. This procedure is commonly used to provide a window of pain relief allowing for participation in active therapy. ([Washington, 2005](#)) ([Manchikanti, 2003](#)) See also [Facet joint diagnostic blocks](#) (injections); [Facet joint pain, signs & symptoms](#); [Facet joint medial branch blocks](#) (therapeutic injections); [Facet joint intra-articular injections](#) (therapeutic blocks). Also see [Neck Chapter](#) and [Pain Chapter](#).

Criteria for use of facet joint radiofrequency neurotomy:

- (1) Treatment requires a diagnosis of facet joint pain using a medial branch block as described above. See [Facet joint diagnostic blocks](#) (injections).
- (2) While repeat neurotomies may be required, they should not occur at an interval of less than 6 months from the first procedure. A neurotomy should not be repeated unless duration of relief from the first procedure is documented for at least 12 weeks at $\geq 50\%$ relief. The current literature does not support that the procedure is successful without sustained pain relief (generally of at least 6 months duration). No more than 3 procedures should be performed in a year's period.
- (3) Approval of repeat neurotomies depends on variables such as evidence of adequate diagnostic blocks, documented improvement in VAS score, decreased medications and documented improvement in function.
- (4) No more than two joint levels are to be performed at one time.
- (5) If different regions require neural blockade, these should be performed at intervals of no sooner than one week, and preferably 2 weeks for most blocks.
- (6) There should be evidence of a formal plan of additional evidence-based conservative care in addition to facet joint therapy.

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205
Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-4443

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)