



Specialty Independent Review Organization

Notice of Independent Review Decision

DATE OF REVIEW: 1/26/2012

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

The item in dispute is the prospective medical necessity of a lumbar laminectomy @ L4-L5, SP Instrumentation, autograft, IBG w/ 1 day LOS (63047, 22612, 20936, & 22842).

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

The reviewer is a Medical Doctor who is board certified in Orthopedic Surgery. The reviewer has been practicing for greater than 10 years.

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

- Upheld (Agree)
- Overturned (Disagree)
- Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

The reviewer disagrees with the previous adverse determination regarding the prospective medical necessity of a lumbar laminectomy @ L4-L5, SP Instrumentation, autograft, IBG w/ 1 day LOS (63047, 22612, 20936, & 22842).

A copy of the ODG was not provided by the Carrier or URA for this review.

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The patient is a female who was injured while at work on xx/xx/xx. Most recently on xxxxx, the Attending Physician documented that his patient has had ongoing back pain with sciatica, despite comprehensive non-operative treatments. In addition, an MRI revealed spondylolisthesis and HNP at L4-5, along with noting

positive electrical studies of radiculopathy. 7 mm of translation was noted on flexion-extension films. Reference was made to a psychosocial screen being obtained. Prior records were also reviewed, including the paresthesias and weakness of the lower extremities, especially the right side. Some of the Attending Physician's records denoted right EHL weakness. Flexion-extension xrays dated xxxxx revealed 7-8 mm of segmental motion. On xxxxx, a CT myelogram referenced an HNP at L4-5. The xxxxx dated MRI revealed an HNP at L4-5. Denial letters discussed the lack of psychosocial screen and x-rays documenting instability.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

With subjective painful sciatica, EHL weakness, a correoberratingly positive MRI and x-rays revealing segmental instability (at L4-5) despite reasonable non-operative treatment, (ODG) criteria for both decompression and fusion have been met. Also, a psychosocial screen has been noted to have been (at the least) initiated/in progress. Overall therefore, the proposed lumbar laminectomy @ L4-L5, SP Instrumentation, autograft, IBG w/ 1 day LOS (63047, 22612, 20936, & 22842) are medically necessary at this time.

ODG Low Back Chapter: Indications for Surgery - Discectomy/laminectomy: Required symptoms/findings; imaging studies; & conservative treatments below: I. Symptoms/Findings which confirm presence of radiculopathy. Objective findings on examination need to be present. Straight leg raising test, crossed straight leg raising and reflex exams should correlate with symptoms and imaging.

Findings require ONE of the following:

- A. L3 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:
 - 1. Severe unilateral quadriceps weakness/mild atrophy
 - 2. Mild-to-moderate unilateral quadriceps weakness
 - 3. Unilateral hip/thigh/knee pain
- B. L4 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:
 - 1. Severe unilateral quadriceps/anterior tibialis weakness/mild atrophy
 - 2. Mild-to-moderate unilateral quadriceps/anterior tibialis weakness
 - 3. Unilateral hip/thigh/knee/medial pain
- C. L5 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:
 - 1. Severe unilateral foot/toe/dorsiflexor weakness/mild atrophy
 - 2. Mild-to-moderate foot/toe/dorsiflexor weakness
 - 3. Unilateral hip/lateral thigh/knee pain
- D. S1 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:
 - 1. Severe unilateral foot/toe/plantar flexor/hamstring weakness/atrophy
 - 2. Moderate unilateral foot/toe/plantar flexor/hamstring weakness
 - 3. Unilateral buttock/posterior thigh/calf pain

(EMGs are optional to obtain unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy but not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious.)

II. Imaging Studies, requiring ONE of the following, for concordance between radicular findings on radiologic evaluation and physical exam findings:

- A. Nerve root compression (L3, L4, L5, or S1)
- B. Lateral disc rupture
- C. Lateral recess stenosis

Diagnostic imaging modalities, requiring ONE of the following:

- 1. MR imaging
- 2. CT scanning
- 3. Myelography
- 4. CT myelography & X-Ray

III. Conservative Treatments, requiring ALL of the following:

- A. Activity modification (not bed rest) after patient education (≥ 2 months)
- B. Drug therapy, requiring at least ONE of the following:
 - 1. NSAID drug therapy
 - 2. Other analgesic therapy
 - 3. Muscle relaxants
 - 4. Epidural Steroid Injection (ESI)
- C. Support provider referral, requiring at least ONE of the following (in order of priority):
 - 1. Physical therapy (teach home exercise/stretching)
 - 2. Manual therapy (chiropractor or massage therapist)
 - 3. Psychological screening that could affect surgical outcome
- 4. Back school (Fisher, 2004)

For average hospital LOS after criteria are met, see Hospital length of stay (LOS).

Patient Selection Criteria for Lumbar Spinal Fusion:

For chronic low back problems, fusion should not be considered within the first 6 months of symptoms, except for fracture, dislocation or progressive neurologic loss. Indications for spinal fusion may include: (1) Neural Arch Defect - Spondylolytic spondylolisthesis, congenital neural arch hypoplasia. (2) Segmental Instability (objectively demonstrable) - Excessive motion, as in degenerative spondylolisthesis, surgically induced segmental instability and mechanical intervertebral collapse of the motion segment and advanced degenerative changes after surgical discectomy, with relative angular motion greater than 20 degrees.] (3) Primary Mechanical Back Pain (i.e., pain aggravated by physical activity)/Functional Spinal Unit Failure/Instability, including one or two level segmental failure with progressive degenerative changes, loss of height, disc loading capability. In cases of workers' compensation, patient outcomes related to fusion may have other confounding variables that may affect overall success of the procedure, which should be considered. There is a lack of support for fusion for mechanical low back pain for subjects with failure to participate effectively in active rehab pre-op, total disability over 6 months, active psych diagnosis, and narcotic dependence. Spinal

instability criteria includes lumbar inter-segmental movement of more than 4.5 mm. (4) Revision Surgery for failed previous operation(s) if significant functional gains are anticipated. Revision surgery for purposes of pain relief must be approached with extreme caution due to the less than 50% success rate reported in medical literature. (5) Infection, Tumor, or Deformity of the lumbosacral spine that cause intractable pain, neurological deficit and/or functional disability. (6) After failure of two discectomies on the same disc, fusion may be an option at the time of the third discectomy, which should also meet the ODG criteria.

Pre-Operative Surgical Indications Recommended: Pre-operative clinical surgical indications for spinal fusion should include all of the following: (1) All pain generators are identified and treated; & (2) All physical medicine and manual therapy interventions are completed; & (3) X-rays demonstrating spinal instability and/or myelogram, CT-myelogram, or discography (see discography criteria) & MRI demonstrating disc pathology correlated with symptoms and exam findings; & (4) Spine pathology limited to two levels; & (5) Psychosocial screen with confounding issues addressed. (6) For any potential fusion surgery, it is recommended that the injured worker refrain from smoking for at least six weeks prior to surgery and during the period of fusion healing. (Colorado, 2001) (BlueCross BlueShield, 2002)

For average hospital LOS after criteria are met, see Hospital length of stay (LOS).

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)