

Notice of Independent Review Decision

DATE OF REVIEW: 02/20/2012

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

18 additional visits of physical therapy to the low back over 6 weeks including 97002, G0283, 97014, 97110 and 97530

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

The physician performing this review is Board Certified, American Board of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation. He is certified in pain management. He is a member of the Texas Medical Board. He has a private practice of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Electrodiagnostic Medicine & Pain Management in Texas. He has published in medical journals. He is a member of his state and national medical societies.

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

- Upheld (Agree)
- Overturned (Disagree)
- Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether or not medical necessity exists for each of the health care services in dispute.

Upon independent review, I find that the previous adverse determination should be upheld. I agree with the adverse determination

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW

Records Received: 20 page fax 1/31/12 IRO request, 50 page fax 2/01/12 URA response to disputed services including administrative and medical records. Dates of documents range from 12/07/10 to 1/31/12.

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205

Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-443

The documents above indicate that this individual was originally injured 12/07/10 while in the process of lifting and twisting. Failing response to the initial phases of therapy, treatment, and interventions, it was ultimately necessary for the patient to undergo surgery. The operative report indicates that the procedure was done 06/02/11 on this individual, whose date of birth was noted as 01/12/87. The postoperative diagnosis was large herniated disk at L4-5 with radiculopathy. The procedure was noted to be a right L4-5 discectomy.

The patient, following the surgical procedure and initial recovery, underwent postsurgical physical therapy rehabilitation. At the point in time of the latest documented surgeon follow-up on 09/21/11, it was noted that the patient was doing well and was able to do all daily activities but had not returned to work. The doctor at that point in time, responding to the patient's request, ordered additional physical therapy. There is no indication that the patient has seen his treating surgeon and ordering physician, within the last three months.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

The explanation for the IRO decision is based on the *ODG, Official Disability Guidelines*, criteria for post-discectomy physical therapy. The *ODG* does indicate the appropriateness of such medical treatment but not to the degree as being requested some six months post surgery. The goals stated in the examination at Shea Physical Therapy 12/13/11 to achieve full functional range of motion, perform independent home exercise program, and return to regular activity do not require skilled, supervised physical therapy and are activities and functions within the capability of the home exercise program.

ODG Criteria S/P Lumbar Discectomy IRO 39319 - Lerma

Recommended. There is strong evidence that physical methods, including exercise and return to normal activities, have the best long-term outcome in employees with low back pain. See also [Exercise](#). Direction from physical and occupational therapy providers can play a role in this, with the evidence supporting active therapy and not extensive use of passive modalities. The most effective strategy may be delivering individually designed exercise programs in a supervised format (for example, home exercises with regular therapist follow-up), encouraging adherence to achieve high dosage, and stretching and muscle-strengthening exercises seem to be the most effective types of exercises for treating chronic low back pain. ([Hayden, 2005](#)) Studies also suggest benefit from early use of aggressive physical therapy ("sports medicine model"), training in exercises for home use, and a functional restoration program, including intensive physical training, occupational therapy, and psychological support. ([Zigenfus, 2000](#)) ([Linz, 2002](#)) ([Cherkin-NEJM, 1998](#)) ([Rainville, 2002](#)) Successful outcomes depend on a functional restoration program, including intensive physical training, versus extensive use of passive modalities. ([Mannion, 2001](#)) ([Jousset, 2004](#)) ([Rainville, 2004](#)) ([Airaksinen, 2006](#)) One clinical trial found both effective, but chiropractic was slightly more favorable for acute back pain and physical therapy for chronic cases. ([Skargren, 1998](#)) A spinal stabilization program is more effective than standard physical therapy sessions, in which no exercises are prescribed. With regard to manual therapy, this approach may be the most common physical therapy modality for chronic low back disorder, and it may be appropriate as a pain reducing modality, but

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205

Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-443

it should not be used as an isolated modality because it does not concomitantly reduce disability, handicap, or improve quality of life. ([Goldby-Spine, 2006](#)) Better symptom relief is achieved with directional preference exercise. ([Long, 2004](#)) As compared with no therapy, physical therapy (up to 20 sessions over 12 weeks) following disc herniation surgery was effective. Because of the limited benefits of physical therapy relative to "sham" therapy (massage), it is open to question whether this treatment acts primarily physiologically, but psychological factors may contribute substantially to the benefits observed.

([Erdogmus, 2007](#)) In this RCT, exercise and stretching, regardless of whether it is achieved via yoga classes or conventional PT supervision, helps improve low back pain. ([Sherman, 2011](#)) See also specific physical therapy modalities, as well as [Exercise](#); [Work conditioning](#); [Lumbar extension exercise equipment](#); [McKenzie method](#); [Stretching](#); & [Aquatic therapy](#). [Physical therapy is the treatment of a disease or injury by the use of therapeutic exercise and other interventions that focus on improving posture, locomotion, strength, endurance, balance, coordination, joint mobility, flexibility, activities of daily living and alleviating pain. ([BlueCross BlueShield, 2005](#)) As for visits with any medical provider, physical therapy treatment does not preclude an employee from being at work when not visiting the medical provider, although time off may be required for the visit.]

Active Treatment versus Passive Modalities: The use of active treatment modalities instead of passive treatments is associated with substantially better clinical outcomes. In a large case series of patients with acute low back pain treated by physical therapists, those adhering to guidelines for active rather than passive treatments incurred fewer treatment visits, cost less, and had less pain and less disability. The overall success rates were 64.7% among those adhering to the active treatment recommendations versus 36.5% for passive treatment. ([Fritz, 2007](#)) The most commonly used active treatment modality is Therapeutic exercises (97110), but other active therapies may be recommended as well, including Neuromuscular reeducation (97112), Manual therapy (97140), and Therapeutic activities/exercises (97530). A recent RCT comparing active spinal stabilization exercises (using the GDS or Godelive Denys-Struyf method) with passive electrotherapy using TENS plus microwave treatment (considered conventional physical therapy in Spanish primary care), concluded that treatment of nonspecific LBP using the GDS method provides greater improvements in the midterm (6 months) in terms of pain, functional ability, and quality of life. ([Arribas, 2009](#)) In this RCT, two active interventions, multidisciplinary rehab (intensive, bio-psychosocial PT) and exercise (exercises targeted at trunk muscles together with stretching and relaxation), reduced the probability of sickness absence, and were more effective for pain than self-care advice at 12 months. ([Rantonen, 2012](#))

Patient Selection Criteria: Multiple studies have shown that patients with a high level of fear-avoidance do much better in a supervised physical therapy exercise program, and patients with low fear-avoidance do better following a self-directed exercise program. When using the Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire ([FABQ](#)), scores greater than 34 predicted success with PT supervised care. ([Fritz, 2001](#)) ([Fritz, 2002](#)) ([George, 2003](#)) ([Klaber, 2004](#)) ([Riipinen, 2005](#)) ([Hicks, 2005](#)) Without proper patient selection, routine physical therapy may be no more effective than one session of assessment and advice from a physical therapist. ([Frost, 2004](#)) Patients exhibiting the centralization phenomenon during lumbar range of motion testing should be treated with the specific exercises (flexion or extension) that promote centralization of symptoms. When findings from the patient's history or physical examination are associated with clinical instability, they should be treated with a trunk strengthening and stabilization exercise program. ([Fritz-Spine, 2003](#)) Practitioners must be cautious when implementing the wait-and-see approach for LBP, and once medical clearance has been obtained, patients should be advised to keep as active as possible. Patients presenting with high fear avoidance characteristics should have these concerns addressed aggressively to prevent long-term disability, and they should be encouraged to promote the resumption of physical activity. ([Hanney, 2009](#))

Post Epidural Steroid Injections: ESIs are currently recommended as a possible option for short-term treatment of radicular pain (sciatica), defined as pain in dermatomal distribution with corroborative findings of radiculopathy. The general goal of physical therapy during the acute/subacute phase of injury is to decrease guarding, maintain motion, and decrease pain and inflammation. Progression of rehabilitation to a more advanced program of stabilization occurs in the maintenance phase once pain is controlled. There is little evidence-based research that addresses the use of physical therapy post ESIs, but it appears that most randomized controlled trials have utilized an ongoing, home directed program post injection. Based on current literature, the only need for further physical therapy treatment post ESI would be to emphasize the home exercise program, and this requirement would generally be included in the currently suggested

The DYLL REVIEW

We take the worry out of Peer Reviews

25 Highland Park Village #100-177 Dallas TX 75205

Phone: 888-950-4333 Fax: 888-9504-443

maximum visits for the underlying condition, or at least not require more than 2 additional visits to reinforce the home exercise program. ESIs have been found to have limited effectiveness for treatment of chronic pain. The claimant should continue to follow a home exercise program post injection.

([Luijsterburg, 2007](#)) ([Luijsterburg2, 2007](#)) ([Price, 2005](#)) ([Vad, 2002](#)) ([Smeal, 2004](#))

Post-surgical (discectomy) rehab: A recent Cochrane review concluded that exercise programs starting 4-6 weeks post-surgery seem to lead to a faster decrease in pain and disability than no treatment; high intensity exercise programs seem to lead to a faster decrease in pain and disability than low intensity programs; home exercises are as good as supervised exercises; and active programs do not increase the re-operation rate. Although it is not harmful to return to activity after lumbar disc surgery, it is still unclear what exact components should be included in rehabilitation programs. High intensity programs seem to be more effective but they could also be more expensive. Another question is whether all patients should be treated post-surgery or is a minimal intervention with the message return to an active lifestyle sufficient, with only patients that still have symptoms 4 to 6 weeks post-surgery requiring rehabilitation programs. ([Ostelo, 2009](#)) There is inconclusive evidence for the effectiveness of outpatient physical therapy after first lumbar discectomy. Although evidence from two trials suggested that intervention might reduce disability short-term, and more intensive intervention may be more beneficial than less intensive therapy, pooled results did not show statistically significant benefit. ([Rushton, 2011](#))

ODG Physical Therapy Guidelines –

Allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 or more visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home PT. Also see other general guidelines that apply to all conditions under Physical Therapy in the [ODG Preface](#), including assessment after a "six-visit clinical trial".

Intervertebral disc disorders without myelopathy (ICD9 722.1; 722.2; 722.5; 722.6; 722.8):

Medical treatment: 10 visits over 8 weeks

Post-injection treatment: 1-2 visits over 1 week

Post-surgical treatment (discectomy/laminectomy): 16 visits over 8 weeks

Post-surgical treatment (arthroplasty): 26 visits over 16 weeks

Post-surgical treatment (fusion, after graft maturity): 34 visits over 16 weeks

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)